BULLETIN

Moscow.

12 November 1922.

Second session.

Nov. 9th 1922.

Chairman, Comrade Kolarow.

Contents.

Appointments of commissions. Report of the E. C. Comrade Zinoviev. Speakers Kolarow, Humbert-Droz, Carr. Zinoviev.

Session was opened at 7 p. m. by Comrade Kolarov.

olarov. - I declare the Session of the World Congress of the III Communist

rnational open. our first duty at this Session is to s upon the agenda adopted by the ecutive Committee. Since it was pub led in the Inprecor, and in the commut press, generally, it is not necessary read it to you, as all the deletgates presumably acquainted with it. Does rone wish to move any amendments the agenda? This not being the case, it it to the vote. - The agenda is adopted. We must now take the vote on the julations of the Congress procedure opted by the Enlarged Session of the ecutive Committee. As there is no obtion, I declare the regulations adopted. must also appoint several commisns for the consideration of some sped questions. I call upon Comrade Humrt-Droz to put his proposals before us. Humbert-Droz. The Presidium had ggested to the various delegations the cessity of selecting a number of comssions. The delegations met in conence and moved several amendments the proposals of the Presidium, tich have been accepted. The va-

us commissions have been appointed

the Presidium. They are as follows:

The Spanish Commission.

Lozovsky, Humbert-Droz. Italy: Graziadei. Germany: Schreiner. Young Communists: Doriot. France: Paquereaux. Co-opted: Humbert-Droz.

The Negro Commission.

Billing, Sasha, Johnstone, Safarov, Katayama.

France: Tahar Budenga. Holland: Jansen. Great Britain: William Joss. Dutch colonies: Malacca. South Africa: Bunting. Co-opted: The American members.

The French Commission.

Russia: Lenin, Trotzky, Zinoviev. Germany: Zetkin, Thalheimer, Becker. Bulgaria: Kolarov. Czecho-Slovakia: Neurath. Italy: Bordiga. Norway: Grepp. Poland: Valatzky, Kostshewa. America: Carr. Switzerland: Welt. Japan: Katayama. Great Britain: Minnie Birch. Hungary: Bela Kun. Belgium: Van Overstraaten. Austria: Stern.

Spain: Gonzales. Young Communists: Schaller. Profintern: Lozovsky.

Melnilchansky and Humbert-Droz-De-legates of the Executive in France, Chairman-Tretzky, Secretary-Humbert-Droz.

The Italian Commission.

Russia: Trotzky, Zinoviev, Radek. Germany: Zetkin, Schuhmann, Ruth

France: Souvarin, Ferdinand Faurés. Creat Britain: Murphy. Czecho-Slovakia: Seidler. Bulgaria: Kabakchiev. Norway: Meyer. Poland: Michalkovsky. America: Sullivan. Yugo-Slavia: Raditz.

Young Communists: Vilyovich. Profintern: Nin.

Rakesi, secretary of the commission.

The Sub-Committee for Special Consultations.

With the representatives of the S. P. Y.-S.

(Socialist Party of Yugo-Slavia). Radek, Zinoviev, Trotzky, Zetkin and Kabakchiev.

The Norwegian Question.

Bukhariu, Radek, Kuusinen, Kobetzky, Urbans, Samuelson, Smeral, Shatzkin. Secretary Kobetzky.

The Czecho-Slovak Question.

Germany: Secretary, Heckert, Ulbericht, Ruth Fischer.

France: Rosmer, Duret. Austria: Friedlander. Italy: Tresso. Rossia: Radek, Zinoviev. Poland: Keller. Bulgaria: Issakov. Young Communists: Vuyovich. Profintern: Melnitchansky.

The American Commission.

Russia: Bukharin, Valetzky, Lozovsky, Katayama, Kuusinen, Radek. Germany: Eberlein.

Balkans: Kobler. France: Gamelen. Italy: Azzairo.

Great Britain: Leckie. Young Communists: Kurella. Czecho-Slovakia: Schiffeld. Poland: Domsky. Holland: Karenstein.

The Yugo-Slav Commissionecessary.

Italy: Bombacci. Bulgaria: Kolarov. Rumania: Panker. Hungary: Bela Kun. Young Communists: Lickov. Austria: Friedlander. Czecho-Slovakia: Korcu. Germany: Heckert. Poland: Pruchniak. France: Lespagnol.

missions were nominated by the van deposed before national delegations. If any alterations our disposal before,

desired, the delegations are requested the end of the III Congress, which was

comrade wishes to be included into tle N his name be included.

proposed, I will put to the vot large number of very important strikes composition of the commissions as it on a large scale took place throughout

missions already enumerated (such a in the defeat of the workers. These stri-

appoint a General Secretary of the the working class have become less nugress, and it proposes that comrade Imerous. There were in 1920, 25,000,000 bert - Droz should fill this post. As members in the trade unions. In 1922 the is no objection, comrade Hambert trade unions had only 18,000.000 mem-

The Polish Party had appointed a this figure is not exaggerated. This fact rade to the Presidium of the Congratone shows us the difficult position of who has since been replaced by compthe working class during the period co-Markhlevsky. I put this slight alterative de by this report.

We are now coming to the first this period must be taken into account. on our agenda; the report of the Extraced not remind you, that immediately on our agenda; the report of the made after the conclusion of the HI Congress that family

Comrade Zinoviev.

I call on Comrade Zinoviev to address hing in Russia. This was not quite evident during the III Congress, but immemust report on the activity of our stately after its conclusion we had to

cutive during the period intervening between the III and the IV Congress, and hen discuss the future activity of the then asset International. Accordingly, I Co-opted: Kunsingshall divide my report into two parts betshall arrive we may have an intermission if

have embodied the facts and figures concerning the activity of the Executive during the past 15 months in an article which has appeared in several languages. Therefore I will not refer again to these

We have two questions to consider: firstly, whether our Executive has carried out the decisions of the III Congress in the right way, and secondly whether Co-opted: Kurethese decisions themselves were correct.

This is all the more necessary since Kolarov.—The delegates to these much material has accumulated during

Let us now consider the situation at Carr-America: The South Afra determining factor in our entire policy. Immediately after the III Congress, it Commission. I believe this was alraberan a well organised and systematic offensive against the working class Kolarov. As no other alterations froughout the world. The working class

If necessary, other commissions with our activity. In examening somewhat closely appointed. The delegations that have the results of these strikes, we must yet appointed their members to the admit that the majority of them ended

case with Austria) are invited to do kes were in the nature of a capitalist The Presidium has also resolved offensive. The economic Organisations of

general election. Mr. Clynes assured us that he was speaking not for himself alone but on behalf of all his colleagues.

address the workers of the whole world on behalf of the Executive of the Communist International asking support for the Russian proletariat during the famine year. This fact had enormous political consequences, You are aware that we have been accused of using the International as a weapon of the Russian Soviet Republic. There are even some "friends" who make this assertion. It is of course: selfevident that there is and there ought and must be an interaction between the first proletariat republic and the Communist Party which is fighting against the bourgeoisie. From our communist viewpoint it is perfectly clear that the Communist International is of the greatest importance for Soviet Russia, and vice versa. It is utterly ridiculous to ask who is the exploited, who the subject and who the object. The Ropublic and the International are as the foundation and the roof of a building, they belong together.

The situation with which we were faced during the last year, was taken advantage of by our opponents, in order to fight against the idea of the proletarian dictatorship in general. The entire II International endeavoured to use the Russian famine for a campaign against the Com-

munist International.

A special feature of their campaign was the assertion that the Communist International was only a weapon of the Soviet Republic.

The Russian Soviet Republic is such a great international factor, that no one can possibly ignore it. It is only a question on which side of the barricade one takes up his position. Let me give you as an illustration from recent events letter of Clynes, the leader

of the British Labour Party. I believe that most of you have read that letter. Mr. Clynes, one of the best known leaders of recent years, has addressed a letter to the Soviet Republic which has now been published. In this letter Clynes proposes that the Soviet Republic should endorse as soon as possible the agreement with Mr. Urquhart (which you all know) in order that the Labour Party should have a better chance of success at the coming

Though Great Britain is a big capitalist

imperialist power, nevertheless the general election in that country is closely connected with the situation of Soviet Russia. The Labour Party, one of the important or rather the most important Party in the II International, cannot ignore this situation in Russia and must take sides, but on whose behalf, on which barricade? The answer ison the side of Mr. Urquhart, on the side of the bourgeoisie. Therefore, we think that when the II International is accusing the III International of being nothing but ar appendix and a weapon of Soviet Russia we can justly say that neither can the II International brush aside the Russian Soviet Republic, but must take it into account. The only difference is that the II International is endeavouring to make use of the proletarian Soviet Republic for the benefit of the bourgeoi-

As I said before, the famine in Soviet Russia served for the H and 21/2 Internationals as a starting point for an energetic campaign of all their parties against the III international, and we are obliged to admit that this campaign was successful to a considerable extent. To the nonparty workers, lacking in political trainig to be faced with the fact that famine reigned in the first Soviet Republis and that the life of the Russian workere and peasants was one of suffering and hardships, amounted to a great disappointment in the revolution in general. One can be annoyed at this, but one can not refuse to understand it. Considering the condition in which the working masses found themselves after the war, this was inevitable. It was certainly very dishonest on the part of our opponents to make use of our misfortunes, for they must have known the origin of the famine. They must have known that the traitors in the II and the 21/2 Internationals and the entire tactics of imperialism were the chief culprits. It was evident however from the start that the H International would make use of this in the struggle which it has been carrying on against us, and it has indeed done so.

To recapitulate, the position of the Communist International, as well as that of the first Soviet Republic has been a very difficult one this year, and our unscrupu- have from the beginning relinquis

tion the figures I am going to quote the would have certainly been obliged to press themselves in favour of the unit front. The number of workers engaged sie and not for that of the proletarians. strikes in France might be termed offe sive strikes, i. e., strikes for raising the working class level of existence, for night er wages, etc., has been as follows: 1915 that is during the war, only 5.0 workers in France participated in offer sive strikes. In 1916 (still during the w their number rose to 37,000, in 1918 131.000, in 1919 to 1.053.000, and in the From that time the strike curve began go down grade. In the second half 1920 only 57.000 workers participated offensive strikes and in 1921 the ye under consideration now only 9,000 w

As against that we have in the f 8 months of 1921, 160,000 French W kers participating in defensive strike This means that in the years 1921the offensive of capitalism was most act and compelled the French working cl to confine itself to defensive strikes, bell too weak for an offensive against the bo geoisie which had then launched attack all along the line.

I am of the opinion that this was deciding factor in France, as well as other countries in the question of the ted front tactics. le our French Irel had paid attention to these figures had studied the development of the sin movement in their own country a more closely, I am sure that they W lous opponents, the H and 21/2 Interna- their opposition to the united front.

tionals, endeavoured to make use of it the beginning of our activity and all duour detriment, achieving a certain of the beginning of covered by this report our detriment, achieving a certain smon of success in this attempt.

As already stated, the strikes were the nature of an offensive of the home the strikes were the nature of an offensive of the home the strikes were the nature of an offensive of the home the strikes were the nature of an offensive of the home the strikes were the nature of an offensive of the home the strikes were the nature of an offensive of the home the strikes were the nature of an offensive of the home the strikes were the strikes were the nature of an offensive of the home the strikes were the strikes were the strikes were the nature of an offensive of the home the strikes were the strik the nature of an offensive of the bourg jed left elements, such as the K.A.P. oisic. I do not want to tire you nature of the bourge jed left enarchic groups on the one hand many tables and figures (this can be do and of the right groups on the other in a pamphlet), but I will use as a do I want to remind you of the Lovi in a pamphlet), but I will use as an ill hand I want to remind you of the Levi stration a country which is of the stration a country which is of the gre group to which we had to devote some test importance to us in the gree group at the III Congress. Then there test importance to us in the question at the III Congress. Then there the united front. I refer to Epoca attention at the III Congress. French comrades were the most decide very prominently at the HI Congress. We opponents of the united front took opponents of the united front tactics. Me realised then that the formation of truly communist parties had only just begun. ever, that should our French comrad The III Congress left us the well known criticising so violently the Communi walchword , to the masses, and in the International, have taken into consider resolution on tactics it set before us the task of winning over the majority of the working class rousing and drawing into the struggle the most important social sections of the proletariat.

Zinoviev. The slogan of the United front first formulated by our Executive in December 1921 was the direct outcome of this general situation. I believe, comrades, that now, after two sessions of the Englarged Executive -- which were in reality small world congresses-we have progressed so far that even in France the ommunists as well as the Syndicalists first half of 1920 it dropped to 628.00 gave up the opposition to the united front, so that a lengthy discussion of the matter will not be necessary at this congress. It is clear that our Executive was right hen, in December 1921, it issued the logan:-..To the Masses*, adopting the olicy of the United Front. All our straby has been nothing but the practical opplication of the United Front to the oncrete situation in each country. And wish to state now that in my opion this tactic will have to be adhered during the coming year or coming

> The United Front was really the first international campaign which the Internaional attempted on a large scale. You know that we have insisted that the Commanist International must be an International of action, an International of work, centralised International Communist World Party, etc. This is an absolutely But principle, and we must abide by it. But we require years and years in order to carry it out thoroughly. It is compalatively easy to adopt a resolution to this

such was the general situation from effect, but it is a much harder task when attempt to carry out an international membership week-an undertaking which really differs very little from similar attempts by the Second Internationalfailed because our Parties are still too heterogeneous, because our Parties are in many cases not yet communistic and have still much of the Social Democratic spirit in them, because their organisation is deficient, because it is a hard task generally to organise international action. During the past year we have attempted several international campaigns, as the campaign for Famine Relief, the campaign in connection with the S.R. Trial. Among these the campaign for the United Front was of special importance. And it must be frankly stated that this campaign did not proceed without much hindrance. We shall speak of this at greater length when we come to the special points of the agendo.

It has appeared that some groups in our Communist International are trying to bring too many of the customs of the Second International into the Third. 1 believe, comrades, that we cannot regard what happened in France without protest. At the time when the Communist International should have been a centralised world organisation of the proletariat, when the International was starting a wide campaign against Amsterdam in connection with the United Front, at that time an iron discipline, or, attany rate ordinary proletarian discipline should have prevailed in our ranks which however was not the case. I must say that what the French. and partly also the Italian parties have done was a hindrance to the International action which our organisation had planned. We should see this clearly and adopt the necessary measures to meet the situation. This campaign was politically very important, but it was not such as would vitally affect hundreds of thousands of comrades. But when campaigns such as these meet with so many hindrances there is cause to fear that in times of greater crises similar disturbances may occur.

Comrades, I believe that it will be best if in my report on the activity of the Executive, I take country by country. Allow me to preface this by the following

general observation. The greater the portion of the old social-democratic movement which we had taken over into our ranks, the greater the remainder of centrism and social democratism we have had to contend with in our party. You will be able to see that clearly from my review of

the situation. I begin with Germany, Germany stood in the centre of our debates at the Third World Congress. The situation of the Cerman Party at the time of the Third World Congress was very difficult, as you know. Our enemies spoke of complete disintegration, too many of our friends were hypnotised by the temporarily difficult situation of the German Party. The Executive is proud that it has been able to render effective help to the German Party in the solution of its severe crisis. I believe that we can maintain in all truth and without exaggeration that our German Party is one of the stronthis Congress' (naturally, comparatively speaking), and has the clearest political outlook. This should give us encouragement today when so many of our larger parties find themselves in similar difficult ies. The French Party is the object of the greatest pessimism for many of the members of this Congress. I believe, however, that the example of the German Party should suffice to quiet the fears of this Congress, and I can say that if this Congress acts judiciously, we will be able to render help to the French Party and strengthen its position. The political situation in Germany is revolutionary, and favourable to the only true revolutionary party in Germany, that is, our Communist Party. The union of the Independent Socialist Party and the Socialist Party of Germany which we had predicted in Halle has become a fact. remember that in Halle after the well known historical vote, when we took the occasion in the concluding speech to say that nothing remained for the Right but to join the Social Democracy, a great deal of indignation was aroused. Everybody said that this was brazen demagogy, etc. One need not have been a prophet. to predict this. It was quite clear that

at this period of civil war he who would not

pass over to Communism must join social

democracy. This is just what has happened.

in the revolutionary movement. Con the Congress of Soviets (the Bolsheviki Lenin was right when he said in his bowever, had already wrenched the power legram of greeting to the Congress from the bourgeoisie). I am sorry to say the union of the Second and Two a that the German Party does not merit half Internationals marks an advantation, or rather this compliment, the revolutionary movement. Less to the Communist Party of Germany is not I believe that this has become the revolutionary movement. Less fire The Communist Party of Germany is not less lies, fewer illusions are constituted to be able to carry our less lies, fewer illusions are bette strong enough to be able to carry out the working class. I believe the what the Bolsheviki had done in 1917. Germany we will soon realise that But this campaign will be of the greatest union has actually been an adunion has actually been an advanimportance for the consolidation of our for our movement. We know that ranks.

such old time revolutionists as Led OurGerman Party is not grown very much in Germany there are but I led OurGerman Party is one of the character in Germany there are but two numerically. It is one of the characte-either to join the Communication of the movement this year which way it will choose.

well aplied these tactics. Strikes, memberaship to a million. as the railroad strike in Germany and classical example of the right appl

either to join the Communists of ristic features of the movement this year social democrats. The German protect those parties whose influence upon riat will be able to see in a few more the masses increased, did not grow nuwhich way it will choose. merically in the same proportion. There When I ask myself, which Parties were various reasons for this, such as best applied the policy of the Council playment, the poverty of the prole-Front, I find it has the German and tarian who cannot afford to pay the mi-Czecho-Slovak parties, - naturally, nimum dues to the Party. There were comparatively speaking. We have dalso political causes which we may best gest and best organised parties at, seen that our German Party did observe in Germany. Noone will deny always emphasise sufficienty the i that the influence of our German Party pendence of our line of action; for has considerably increased. Neverthelses us the insistence upon the independs their number, has not grown very much. of communist agitation is the maint I once said at a meeting of the They were not always successful in Russian Communist Party that Germany But in general, the German Park must advance the slogan of raising its

Second Sitting.

this strike was also a proof that en not mean to say that the proletarian economic strike usually grows into a revolution should be postponed until we litical one. I have read an articled shall have attained those millions. In German "International" stating that this conection 1 recollect that the Rus-Fourth Congress will have to say classian Party at the outbreak of the prolewhat is coming in Germany next? tarian revolution had at most 250,000 it be a period of increassing ecom members; the German Party is already conflicts or of political conflicts? To stronger numerically than was the Rusthe question this way is absolutely in sian Party in 1917. But you may rest rect. The coming period will be of assured that the break up of the German increased economic conflics, and als social democracy will go on at a more increased political struggle. The rail rapid pace than some of us anticipate, strike has shown clearly that at it is true that difference of opinion still every economic conflict may turn is prevais in our German Party, there are still many questions to be fought out, You have heard of the Shop Com such as the question of the programme, tees Movement which has just began on which the last session of the Central which will doubtless have a great R Committee was not quite unamimous. The social demograts have accused But on comparing the movement now Party of intending to call a Congres with what it was fourteen months ago the Shop Committees, and then for taken a confidence of the German Party has Germany before an accomplished fact taken a gigantic step forward. If events the Bolsheviki have done in 1917 are not entirely misleading, the path of

the proletarian revolution in Russia leads. through Germany. Thus the healing of all fends in our Party in Germany is of first-class importance. In Germany wehave only two Parties; as to the Ledbour group we prophesy that within a few months it will either go over to the Communists or disappear altoge. We can afford to wait for events to decide themselves. It is clear that in Germany we have only two parties of importance and the future shall belong to our Party

Communication between the Executive and the German Party was of the best, if not ideal. There were many shortenmings, due in part to the fault of the Executive and partly to that of the German Party. However that mey be communications were fairly good, and practically no political event was allowed to pass without an exchange of viewbetween the Executive and the German

I now turn to France. We will yet have some special comment to make upon this subject. But I cannot pass on in my general report without touching upon it. A few months ago I wrote an article under the title of: «The Birth of a Communist Party. In that article I stated that the birth of e Communist Party was quite a difficult matter. Yet, on considering the course of tion of the policy of the United in But this will be no easy matter. I do one must say that the birth of a Communist Party in France presents even greater difficulties than might have been anticipated. There you have the formula I have made: "the greater the number of Social Democratic elements won over by us from the old Party, the greater are the difficulties that we have to overcome. in the most concrete form. This you will have ocasion to observe also in Norway, and perhaps also in some other countries. In France we suddenly won over to our side the majority of the old Party, and it now requires a good deal of time before we shall have overcome all the ailments arising out of this. The situation was watched clesely by the Executive and its representatives, semiof whom like Humbert-Droz, spent had a year in France. This observation goes to show-let us be quite frank about itthat we have to look for quite a let at elements for a Communist Party in the ranks of the Syndicalists, in the ranks of

strum but true.

The tridition of the French movement is well that even new in 1922, after 2 voltage the existence of a Communist Party -we have to state that we have or France a good number of Communists who will be the best elements of our future Communist Party and who are still cut le the ranks of the Party, in the ranks of the Trade Unions. And I think it is one of the most important tasks of ear Congress and of the French Commisnon to bring into our ranks these truly preletarian and truly revolutionary and Communist elements. The tradition in France is such that the Party is conside ed as a Party of "politicans", and it nust be regretfully admitted that such rew is not held without reason (hear!

At the Third Congress we did not adopt a cellicently critical attitude towards the French Party, It was so young at the une, and the Congress had much other work in hand. Perhaps it was a blunder on the part of the Executive this might readily be admitted-nevertheless it is a fact that we applied too little criticism to the French Party at the Third Congress. and it proved a disadvantage to the

As late as 3 or 4 months ago the leaders of the French Party maintained towards the Executive an attitude of criticism from the Left Wing. They criticised the tactics of the United Front as being opportuist, i do not know whether there were many members of the Communist Interand who were naive enough to imagine that the French Party was really critising from a left point of view. I do not think so. It is a good thing at any rate

that such times are gone.

The French Party had failed to apply the Leties of the Communist Internation-I in a country where it was particuhaly dictated by circumstances, I have iready quoted some figures on the strikes in France. These figures go to show that when the Party understood the real movement of the masses, the real proictarian movement, it understood also tr. United Front, the tactics the advocacy of which should be made the pont of contact with the masses. The bourgeoisie in France is conducting a systematic cam-

the bet part of the syndicalists. This paign against the 8-hour day, and it man frankly recognised that the Executive to in its efforts to induce our Party to ini a systematic counter-campaign, Our tempt to inaugurate a campaign in Fal for the 8-hour day in the spirit of United Front has signally failed.

Let me recall to your mind the general strike that took place in Fra In this respect also we must freely a ago was forced by a very small go Paris Congress. in a very tragic position. There is red our tactics and will come back to us. no excuse for a Party which is a seef. The third tendency is really Commuibility upon itself and upon the la gress they committed big mistakes. national to take place.

had somewhat torgotten these 21 con tions, and to-day I had occasion to B them over once more. The first of the 21 conditions stipulates that the Pr should be truly Communistic. I m declare it openly that this first of 21 conditions has not been carried out France. "Humanité" strives to be Communist newspaper, but it is still from being such. It has a very be circulation and has rendered brilli services In many respects—this sho be admitted—but it is not yet a munist newspaper, and the Fourth gress should begin by enforcing this of the 21 conditions, and I hope it

In France, as you know, we now have the

not going to describe these tendencies in detail. Taken as a whole they amount to to centrism or as we have already said: it is a centre without centrists. This we have both said and written, Perhaps this is a a little too complimentary to the French. They are not perfect centrist, although a pretty good background of Centrism is there plainly enough. Thus our minds. It was our usual experie we have both a centre and centrism. We in France in the course of 1918 to must now attempt to retain the centre a declaration of a strike on the Sen while ejecting centrism. It is mostly the and Monday of every week. But note leaders who came over to our side from the took any notice of it those were old Party, who, while rendering greatservice the worst days of Syndian line old Party, who, while rendering greatservice the worst days of Syndicalism, and to the Communist International have not belive it should be one of the most vet got rid of the old Adam of social deportant tasks of our Party to eradia moeracy. On reading Comrade Marcel this tradition. Unfortunately, our Pa Caching's latest articles on the Trade Unihas perpetuated this unwholesome to one must say that these articles are tion. The general strike to which t not written any better than the article French workers were called a few we of Verfeuil whom we expelled at the

of anarchists. Our newspaper "Humani" The second tendency pursues a middle the biggest Labour newspaper in Fra course; I refer to the Renoult Group. was made use of to urge the work Here we must say that we find among class to declare the strike at a time whethem some very good proletarians, of our Party was totally unprepared. Wen whom many have criticised the tactics draw the proper conclusions from tot the United Front from a sincere Left incident, and never again allow rew Wing point of view, but who eventually tion. The working class finds it became convinced of the correctness of

of our Communist International allow nist. We will accept everything that they such a strike, incurring grave respe have done, although at the Paris Con-

Personally I regard the resignation of The French Party has again at its our responsible comrades of the Left as Congress confirmed the 21 condition a big mistake, but we must say that this group deserves the moral support of the Communist International, and we will not deny it to them. This group began the fight for the United Front; they have made many mistakes, but they were the only ones who really defended the Marxist policy of the United Front in France and brought it to victory.

I must tell you comrades that from our first conferences with our comrades we became convinced that a split was avoidable, and the Comintern will naturally do all on its power to prevent such a split. But this example shows us clearly how difficult is the birth of the Communist Party. Just think of this comrades: the French Party has not yet carried out a single mass action, think of what

rendencies and two minor tendencies, I am will happen when they attempt one. I conflict of the German Communist Party came after an action had been attempted. (Very true: from the German benches). Whether the action was good or had makes no difference, the fact remains that a real conflict began with a mass action. The action was a cure for the Party, it saved it; it was also the beginning of a new conflict within the Party, Prophesies are out of place, but when it comes to a real movement, to a real mass action, when it becomes a question of life and death, then we will see a real crisis in the French Party, then will we see who actually belongs to the Communist Party and who does not.

It is not the task of the Congress to my mind, to spare anybody as the Third Congress did, but to recognise facts and to give moral support to those comrades who are true Communists. This does not mean that we will expel the other comrades from the International, but we must make clear to them what they lack, we must show them clearly what a real

Communist is. I now come to Italy. The example of the Italian Party should be a classical example of the policy of the Communist Parties and the Communist International. If ever a true A. B. C. of the tactics of the Communist Parties is to be written. the most important chapter, the most important example, would be furnished by Italy. It is not the classical land for a Communist movement, but nevertheless we see much happening there with classical inevitability. From that we see that Italy is on the eve of revolution. In the fall of 1920 Italy presented the most advanced Communist movement. Our conflict with Italy at that time was not that we told the Italian comrades to make a revolution immediately. The Communist International has never demanded this of the Italian Party. Theoretically speaking it is possible that if our party had won power in the fall of 1920 the case of Hungary might have repeated itself. I do not say that this is certain. I do not know if a blockade were possible. I doubt it, but it is not impossible. It may be that if the workers had seized power in 1920, Italy would have gone the way of Hungary. We have nedes that they must make a revolution. Perhaps it was true that the time was not ripe for the seizure of power. If the majority accepted this standpoint, we would not have been justified in treating with the Italian Socialist Party on that

The cause of the break was not that they did not want to seize power. Our standpoint was, that the situation was revolutionary, and that we must be prepared for all eventualities; the reformists had to be eliminated as a preliminary to the building up of a real revolutionary party. This is why we demanded the expulsion of those who sabotaged the revolution; but the Communist International did not in the fall of 1920 demand that the Italian working class rise and seize power. Another claim is historically false. You know that D'Arragona has openly confessed that the réformists remained in the Party to prevent the revelution. That is why they had to be expelled. It was only a question of preparing the Party for a possible revolution, but not of making an immediate revolution.

As you know, the majority of the Italian Party refused to fulfil the demand of the International. They did not wish to build a revolutionary party or to break with these agents of the bourgeoisie. These words .. agents of the bourgeoisie" has caused much excitement; our friends in Italy shed tears of blood over tactlessness because in a telegram I sent I called the reformists agents of the bourgeoisie", but after D'Arragona's confession I believe that this will be too mild an expression to describe these gentlemen. I can think of no more diplomatic way of describing them. The reformists, these agents of the bourgeoise, remained in the Italian Party and did all in their power to prevent a Revolution and deliver the working class to the counter-revolution.

Our Italian comrades do not agree among themselvs as to whether what has recentivy happened in Italy is a coupd'etat, or a comedy. It might be both. Historically, it is a comedy, in a few months this will turn to the advantage of the Italian working class, but for the time being it is quite a serious change, an actual counter-revolutionary act. The

ver demanded from the Italian comra- fault of our Italian comrades is nor we see, it that they did not make a volution in 1920, but that they have king class into the hands of the

You know the policy of the Execution You know that the question of wheth and in the following year. Our Ital capable of holding such ideas. the workers will leave the Maximal and join the Communists in the com months. And since they will belong our Party, it is our duty to make easy for them to return to the Internist International, to smooth the w for any section of the working class the Communist International, which, ving seen its error, wishes to return our fold. Of course we must demand rantees, and we will do so. The th that have happened in Italy must n happen again. We must have suffic guarantees to that effect. Neverth we must do everything to rounite these comrades.

I hear that many comrades in Fr believe that it might not be such a gerous act to break with the Communication International, They may abuse little", they say, "but they are suit invite us again to the Fifth or the Congress and to reunite with us". comrades are thinking of the case of What shall we say to this? Those speak so, forget that during this

the Italian Party has been destroyed and the Italian working class delivered into the hands of the Fascisti. These comraremain in the Party and betray the plants into the bands of the bands des successful. They think: we shall be expelled today, but tomorrow or after a year we will be able to return and ibegin our work again. The fact that in the meantime the Party and the working the Party had acted rightly or not class may be destroyed, is a minor point Livorno has been much debated at de for them. I believe that this is the conrent congresses. I believe that it is congresses. I believe that it is congresses. I believe that it is congresses. now that we acted properly at Live The majority of the French Party is in-

Communist Party has often acted again The lesson of the Italian Communist the policy of the Executive on the party does not consist in that one or lian question, I believe, however, com another of its leaders had fought us for des, that we were justified, that we con two years, and them came back to Moscow; not have acted otherwise, that at t that is a subordinate matter. The persomoment it was necessary to break de nal question does not enter here. The lesnitely with the Italian Socialist Par son lies much deeper. The lesson is that for if we had not done so the Com if you give a finger to the reformists they nist International would have been be will take the whole hand. Those who com-But from the moment we saw that mit such errors must lead their party to the members of the Italian Socialist Pa destruction and cause the greatest harm ty recognised their faults and wished to the working class of their country. rectify them, we could not but do ever We are certain to have differences not thing to facilitate their return to tonly with the Maximalists, but also with Communist International. It is quite che Italian Communists. In certain questions that whatever happens the majority we are not of the same opinion. They have adopted a programme which is not Marxistic. We have criticised and rejected it. Yet these conceptions are still deeprooted in the Italian Party. It is still tinged with absentecism. Our friend Bordiga has won tional. It is the function of the Comm great merits in the Italian movement. The comrades have fought bravely. Under most difficult circumstances they did everything possible to keep the banner of the Communist International flying. We must acknowledge these merits, especially of comrade Bordiga; nevertheless we must say that our opinions differ very much from theirs. The tinge of absenteeism still remains. Bordiga no longer advocates antiparliamentarianism, he has given up these views, but the spirit of anti-parliamentarianism still remained. We see it in the manner in which the party conducted the unity front tactic.

The tactic, of the United Front was conducted by the Italian Party from the standpoint that it was admissible only in the economical field, but not in the political. We consider this a mistake. The lactic applies to both fields. We were too late in applying the United Front tactic

in Italy, and we were also late in raising the slogan of the Labour Government I personally err ed in not conceding to comrade Bordiga's request to allow the discussion of the Italian question at the last meeting of the Enlarged Executive Committee. That was a mistake. There ought to have been an open discussion.

Nevertheless we regard the Italian Communist Party as one of the best and bravest in the Communist International. It is precisely at this most difficult moment that the party will show of what it is capable. Today I read an illegal manifesto issued by the Italian Communist Party, and I have also received the first illegal manifesto of the central organ of our party. This proves that the Italian party has not laid down its arms even in the most difficult moment (prolonged applause).

We have appointed an Italian Commission. It will have to deal with two matters: 1) the unification of the party, and 2) organisation of our forces during this epoch of Fascism. We do not know how long this epoch will last, but we must

prepare for the worst.

Now about Czecho-Slovakia. In Czecho-Slovakia the Executive, of course with the help of the Party, as a whole, has successfully achieved unity. At the time of the Third Congress we had two parties and several groups in Czecho-Slovakia. It was somewhat doubtful as to whether unity could be organised in this country, where national problems play such an important part. But we have succeeded. We neglected certain opportunities in the Trade Unions. Nevertheless our party has succeeded in rallying the largest section of the trade unions under the red flag. We must say, that the united front tactic has been most brillintly applied by the Czecho-Slovakian Party.

If you study the hourgeois Press and follow the development of affairs in the opposition Press you will admit that ou: party has mance uvred skillfully and has succeeded in attracting the majority (the workers away from en my ergan's -

We hope, therefore, that the practical application of the United Frent tactie will be as brilliamly continued in this country.

As you know there is one point on which we disagree with the Czeche-Slovakiai Party. (Perhaps this applies to other parather here in order to pat the ties too, that we shall see later.) It is the exclusion of the so-called Opposi-

We have set up a Commission which will examine this question. Nevertheless I cannot refrain from giving our point

d view on this matter now.

Our fraternal Czecho-Slovakian party at its last congress, expelled 7 members of the Central Committee, including its former president Sturk, on account of breach of discipline. This came quite unexpectedly for the Executive which had not been consulted in the matter. The Executive deemed it its duty immediately to annul the decision. This does not mean that the opposition had been found in the right. The Executive abides by the standpoint of the majority of the party. We do not wish to describe the opposition as the Left opposition, neither do we wish to back it politically, but we do say that the expulsion was hasty and that all the other means had not been exhausted. In the heat of the fight the guilt of this group was compared to that of Levi. Their guilt consists in having published an appeal in spite of the Central Committee's veto. It was certainly a step that could not be approved from the point of view of Communist Party discipline. But to compare this breach of disipline with that of Paul Levi is altogether irrelevant. Levi had betrayed the working class at a moment when our brothers were being shot dwn. At that juncture he wrote a pamphlet to the order of the German Attorney-General. This was in act of treason to the working class. to which there was but one answer, expulsion. On the other hand the acti n of the Czecho-Slovakian comrades, although a grave breach of discipline, could not be in any way described as treason. We should do all possible to retain this group within the ranks of the party, on condition of course that there should be no further breach of discipline, and that the decisims that have been adopted shall also be carried out. We must have a disciplined party, but we cannot afford to expell members so readily: however small a group it may be before all other means have been tried. And this has not been Jone in the present case. We hope that these comrades will understand quite clethem here in order to pat them back and say: You may tread discussed and say: You may the party so far this has not been done. So far this has not been done. So far this has not been done. The Norwegian try to bring them back to the party type been changed. The Norwegian try to bring them back to the party with yet been changed. The Norwegian try to bring them that party discipline was paper still carries the old name convince them that party discipline was papers are also called "Sozial-be shown that these comrades are montrate "(Hear, hear!). As you see, it to observe proletarian discipline, them they so that the demands of the Communcision of the congress must be lay international may be complied with. this case. We must not be afraid to admit that this case.

The situation is aggravated by thee are a Communist party. Yet we have that in Czecho-Slovakia we have all me parties who have not yet got rid of some 600,000 unemployed. The cital democrate labels. To be sure, we and despair of the working also been in the lap of the H Internaand despair of the working class is ere born in the lap of the H Interna-me. The masses are in an analysis ere born in the lap of the H Intername. The masses are in an angry ronal, and we have inherited some of its me. The masses are in an angry monal, and we have inherited some of its Now it is easy enough to form a Staditions, which cannot be outlived over-calist group, then a K. A. P. and the stade of the process. In our Norwest Communist Labour Party of Communist Party of Communist Labour Party of Communist Labour Party of Communist Labour Party of Communist Party of Communist Labour Party of Communist Party any such groups which at best mirrivals there which are syndicalist in last perhaps for six months, to the e worst sense of the word. Comrade riment of the working class. We have annual used to be in the I. W. W. and see the situation as it is. In a comill retains some of the Syndicalist tralike Czecho-Slovakia, with such a htion. He cannot understand discipline. number of unemployed, we must dor an article he writes: "Discipline, disrything possible to prevent the formapline, I can't stand the word! It is someof a separate K. A. P. group. The Cing degrading to the dignity of a munist International must do everytee man. And this is said by a comrade to avoid it, and I hope we shall sho is by no means an unregenerate highceed. 'ow, but an honest and sincere wor-

I now come to the Norwegian questing class fighter, but, here tradition I have already said that the more rays the man. Tradition is so strong I have already said that the more rays the man. Tradition is so strong ments we get from the old movement at it causes confusion in the mind of more difficult is the birth of a truly the of our best Norwegian comrades, munist Party. In Norway we have get etuals, similar to the "Clarté", group we are experiencing great difficulties the activally the same principles as those question is similar to that of France's this without taking action. This year source of the trouble is the same delegation of the minerty of the source of the trouble is the same delegation of the minorty of the France we have received a legacy of prwegian party here, and I am sure we old party trditions. In Norway there all succeed in solving our problems. strong Federalist tradition and a pectorwegian comrades! You must clearly method of organisation. The party iderstand that the Communist Internahitherto built upon the trade union Canal will not countenance such condinisation. At Halle we had a conversable will those which have prevailed. We with comrade Kirre Gret, the leader well aware of the good qualities of the Norwegian party, and with other the Norwegian movement, and we appresades who then promised to re-organize them. The Norwegian movement is one with the working masses. It has-

comrades who are absolutely devoted to the proletarian revolution. But it must, once for all, shake off the trammels of social democracy. It must understand that it will not become a real Communist Party unless it makes short shift of such

I now turn to Poland. In Poland we have an illegal mass party. The policy of coordinating the legal with the illegal is a very important one, and the experience of the past year has shown, to my way of thinking, that this co-ordination is not quite as simple as we had imagined. The Russian Communists have the experience of 1905-1906. We were then of the opinion that when a legal movement is impossible, there should be co-ordination of the legal with the illegal, with the leadership in the hands of the legal organisation. Now we have to reckon with the experience of various countries, which goes to show that such co-ordination. is not quite so simple. It was possible in Poland, and it was practiced there. We have an illegal party there which at the same time is a mass party. We have almost no legal movement there, but just a very slight fringe of legality. In Poland this is possible, because the Polish Party has already gone through a revolution, because in 1905 it led the working class, because the illegal leadership has already fought in the front ranks of the working class. The party is universally acknowledged. It has proved its reliability through its activities during the revolution. Thenefore, in Poland this method succeeds, while in other countries, e. g., in America, it is much more difficult. because the legal party there has not yet had occasion to work in the open, before the entire working class, in a leading capacity; because the leaders there are not so well-known. There the co-ordination between legal and illegal is of a quite different kind.

As I have already said, in Poland we have an illegal mass party, an old party with a glorious past behind it. Yet there are also important points in which the Executive of the Polish party had certain differences of opinion, such as the agrarian question, the question of nationalities, and partly the question of the United Front. The agrarian question we will discuss with our Polish comrades spec-

ally Very our Polish comredes a conand to contain question has preall the a bate time, which in my minim - out of date and almost socialmeasurable, I must recall the stand that we taken by the II Congress upon this asstion. At that Congress we adopted platorm wherein we proposed, in order to wan over the peasantry, to include a concert of the problem of a redistribuon or land

We also met with some opposition orn the Italian Socialists. The Fascisti love shown that they are able to make as ef such a programme for their own a magogre purposes. This mistake of our tralian comrades has cost us much, and the same error may harm us in Poland and other countries. Fortunately the policy of the Polish Party appears to be changing and we hope that we may be able to some to an agreement with them on the agrarian question and devise a programme a action which will draw the peasantry to the party. The Communist Party is a working class party: this does not mean, however, that we represent only the demands of the industrial proletariat; we represent the working class, but we must know how to draw into our ranks all the oppressed classes fighting against the urgecisic.

We also had a difference of opinion with the Polish comrades on the question of nationalities. We hope that we have also disposed of this disagreement.

On the question of the United Front, is appears that a minority - and, I believe, a small minority of the Polish Party-was against the United Front. llowever, it is very grave that such an opposition should have appeared in one of our oldest parties. We are convinced that the Polish Party itself will be able to reconcile these differences of opinion, and has probably already done so. But this difference of opinion did exist, and it proves how difficult the practical appliration of the United Front is.

There is not much to say of the Balkans. must say, however, that our Balkan Feleration is functioning poorly. The Balkan Pederation is practically non-existent. There are no regular meetings; I believe Lat we must insist that the Balkan Fe-

cumstances and have fulfilled their a sudied carefully. in spite of all.

The Yugo-Slavian movement has your Party has made great progress. the Yugo-Slavian Party.

special tasks of the coming Excer I believe, to give more attention to gland. We do not know as yet the c of this slow development. England a country of a large mass organisa You know that the Communist have no organisation there which ponds with that of the German and we must pay more attention trants and build up a real underground English movement than we have larty. heretofore.

One may say that the combination of Balgarian Party give more attention to America who remained there for

this question. A few words on Round we wish to tell the Congress that the American movement has been contained their duty in spite of persecution. You know that the wipersecution. You know that the wipersecution is quite different from that in Japan we have a small pirty which. Congress of the Roundarian Comrate Congress of the Roundarian Cong numbering several hundred, were tak poland. Yugo-Slavia, Finland or Latvia, directly from the Congress to pris where we have already had a revolution Many of them have been shot; many and the leaders of the working class hastill in prison. The Social Democa te already gained the recognition of the have shamelessly cooperated with proletariat in America we have quite a bourgeoisie in their crushing of the 3 different situation; there is a comparate munist Party. The merit of our Rouman wely large trade union movement, and a comrades is all the greater, that a communist Party with violent factional have remained true to the Commun strife. Therefore. America is one of our International under the most trying most difficult problems, and must be

In Austria, in spite of all difficulties.

gone through a crisis. The question In Hungary, on the contrary, the sitlegal or illegal activity has appeared. I nation is pitiful. I see many comrades problem has not yet been solved. There who have taken part energetically party has had great dificulties. Ye in factional strife and have contributed Slavia is again progressing however not a little to make the situation worse. new movement among the trade un You must permit me to criticise these has begun and we hope that our precomrades before the forum of the Comwill soon regain its power. A Communist International. The Executive has sion will deal with internal conflicts made an energetic attempt to surmount these difficulties. I do not wish to speak

In England, a most important complere of the political emigration. Hifor the development of our organismory teaches us that our cause owes we are growing very slowly. In no much to such emigrés. Perhaps the Itacountry perhaps does the Commulian Party will have an emigrations movement make such slow progress in the near future. We have sometime problem of the adhesion of the Paruthought that political emigration was a the Labour Party has been finaly solucessity. But there are emigrations and The Party has decided to affiliate emigrations. There are emigres who have the Labour Party. It will be one of suffered greatly after an unsuccessful revolution, but our Hungarian comrades have emigrated so much that it has become too much, I hope that the Fourth Congress will tell them energetically enough that we do not wish nor shall we allow a repetition of what we have seen. In a single day, a few weeks ago, there has not a large membership 170 communists were arrested in Huncary. In spite of the fact that the Communist movement is gaining among the This is owing to their peculiar tradit masses, the situation of our party is as If we take into consideration the sad as can be. It is our duty, at a time amount of unemployment and the strien the working clas movement is growing of the English proletariat, the ing and the hourgeoisic is arresting development of Communism in Engundreds of our comrades, to conquer is remarkable. It is practically started differences of opinion among emi-

ted with the best syndic list elements. It is a young party, but it is an important nucleus, and the Japanese Party should now issue a programme. The Congress of the Parties and the peoples of the Far East, which met here in Moscow, had great importance, especially for Japan, because, for the first time, it introduced the important question of the Japanese movement.

We have had valuable results in India I can communicate to the Congress that the work of our comrades during the past few months has been crowned with success. Comrade Roy, with a group of friends, is issuing a periodical, whose task it is to smooth our way in India. Our comrades have been able to gather together the Communist elements in India. They have found entrance into the newspapers; they have entered the trade unions. I believe that this is a great step ferward.

This year we have built more or less strong nuclei of our party in Turkey. China, and Egypt. We should have no illusions in this regard; they are very small groups, but nevertheless it is a step forward, and we must help our comrades there to accomplish a double work, firstly to increase these proletarian nuclei. and secondly so become the vanguard of the whole movement against the bourgeoi-

Important work has also been started in Australia and other countries.

I will now speak of the Profintern. As you know, comrades, the Profintern has met with opposition, even in one of the best parties—the German Party. The German Party discussed quite seriously whether the Profintern was not a premature organization, whether it should not be totally liquidated, etc. This took place under the influence of the Levi group. but it was not only the Levites who fell into this error. This was a most dangeous period for the Profintern. The Executive naturally held it its duty to fight against this liquidating tendency. It was our opinion that the Profintern was in no way premature.

The entire anti-Profintern movement

has now been defeated in Germany, and I hope in other countries, and the Profintern is on the high road to success. We can prophesy that the Profintern will exprience a great growth in we coming years if not even in the next lew months. The Amsterdames wish to bring about a split. They have accompished this split in France, and have begun it in Czecho-Slovakia. In Germany we face a possible split of the trade unions. We believe it to be our task and that of the Profintern to combat this splitting. We want a united working class movement; the Amsterdamers want splits. The more influence we gain in the trade unions, the greater will be the desire of the Amsterdamers to split them, and the more energetic must be our fight against this tactic. We must organise and prepare suitable measures for this purpose. Our campaign will be the subject of a special discussion, with regard to the independent unions which they have forced us to organise in France and Czecho-Slovakia, and which we are now being compelled to organise in Germany and other countries, we must proclaim that the new unions, products of the splits, are born with the cry for unity upon their lips. The slogan of these new unions, produced by mass expulsions must be: Trade Union Unity!

rades are compelled to establish a general, or even a craft union, they must issue the watchword: Unity! Struggle for the unity of the trade union, movement. I will deal with this question in greater detail in the second part of my speech.

Our movement made considerable progress in the question of cooperation, and the organisation of the young people. I should like to make special mention of the Y.C.I. The transference of the Y.C.I. to Moscow has proved successful, and all fears in connection with this have proved groundless. The Y.C,I. has done good work. We must, however, admit in some countries the movement has become rather slack. The your communist movement in Germany and in other countries has also gone through a difficult period. This is a feature of the general situation of the working class. Nevertheless, the Y.C.I. and the Young Communist movement have remained a vanguard of the Communist International. A Young Communist Con-

do this.

ticised § 9 on the basis of which Fabre, practice. expelled. I do not think that any comrader uld say that we were wrong in acting th this expulsion was absolutely necessar However, some of our French comrad have objected to it, saying that we have no right to act in this manner, and t we had given a too wide interpretat to § 9. It will be for the Congress say if we exceeded our authority making use of § 9 in the way we did

There is still another point. The Execu has resolved that the National Congres When the Czech, German and other com- of the Communist Parties should at rule be held after the World Conga Exceptions of course may be made.I not going inquire whether this was a lutely necessary. But what, indeed, the meaning of this decision? It me that we were determined to be a tralised world party, a party direct from one centre. We want the W Congress to be the leading organ for the Parties. We do not want the munist International to be mere meeting ground for all parties. This of view has been violently criticise France.

But what has the French exa taught us? What would have been ach if this Congress had met sooner? said before, I am not going to any proposal to modify this de and would be quite ready to accept modifications. At any rate the det means that we must remain a tralised world organisation. We tralised world organisation on the covernment Printery The Red Proletarian". been too lax in carrying out

gress will be held after our Congress which we must pay the greatest attent. We must, however, endeavour to become an overwhelming majority. The amakemation of the II and the 2½ International will be of great harm to the social anything until now. The Communist anything until now. nals will be of great harm to the social international has been in existence only mocrats in connection with the your all years now. Comrades, this is too peoples movement. New methods are quired in order to influence the your communist parties on a world scale. The proletarian masses which have been greatest evil was not in our negligence, rather indifferent. We hope to be able but in the fact that we looked upon the control of this control of the control of o this.

21 points as a scrap of paper. However, This closes the survey of our activit am of the opinion that the Congress during the last 15 months. We have will say that it is here in order to course committed many errors, and v arry out the 21 points. We must see to must criticise us on that account let that we become a realy international shall discuss frankly whether, and say World Party. Hitherto we were in favour we want to retain the 21 points of this in principle, but it is necessary French comrades have, for instance, a that this principle should be put into

This is my report on the activity of the Executive during the last 15 months. I will deal with our future tacticlater on.

The Session was resumed at 101,

Rolarev. - In agreement with all the delegations, we will bring tonight's Session to a close. The next Session will be held tomorrow at 11 a.m. sharp. I wish to warn the comrades that the Session will begin at that hour, regardless of how many delegates are present. Therefore, everyone should endeavour to be here at least half an hour before the opening of the Session.

(The Session was closed at 10,35 p. m.).

Published by the: Press Eureau of the Fourth Countress of the Countrers, Moseow. Number of copies printed: 750.