## BULLETIN

# F THE IV CONGRESS OF THE COMMUNIST INTERNATIONAL

Moscow.

12 November 1922

### Third Session.

November 10, 1922.

Chairman: Comrade Kolaroff.

Contents:

port of E. C. Comrade Zinoviev (Conclusion). Method of voting. Discussion on Comrade Zinoviev's report.

Speakers: Kolaroff, Zinoviev, Bordiga, Radek, Wajbauer, Ernst Meyer, Varga, Ruth Fischer, Neurath.

#### Third Session. Nov. 10th.

The third session of the Congress ben on Friday. November 10th, at 11.30. M. Kolaroff in the chair. Zinoviev, king in German, presented the second of his report, as follows:

hope that the theses I have drafted teerning this question are already in ar hands, or will reach you shortly. In therefore content myself with a

nmentary upon the theses.

The first questions we have to consider the international economic situation, international political situation, and position within the labour movements. s regards the international economic uation, I think it would be superfluous the Fourth Congress to recapitulate that we decided at the Third Con-88. In my own thesis I propose that the 1rth Congress shall simply confirm the sis concerning the economic situation the world presented to the Third Cong-6 by Comrades Trotzky and Varga. cannot fail to recognise that the use of events during the last fifteen oths has substantially confirmed these ses. There has, indeed, been a tranat improvement in the United States, tain, Japan, and France, and perhaps other countries as well, but it is quite ar that there has been no permanent fige for the better, and Comrade Varga

was perfectly right when, in his last pamphlet, he characterised the existing state of affairs as appropriate to the declining phase of capitalism. What we are now living through is something more than one of the periodical crises of capitalism; it is THE crisis of capitalism: it is the twilight, the collapse of capitalism.

The economic position of the world remains, therefore, the same, despite improvements here and there in various countries. Capitalism cannot find a way out of this situation. The only salvation for mankind, the only way of restoring the forces of production, is to be found in the socialist revolution. In this sense our diagnosis is unaltered, and we can unhesitatingly repeat what was affirmed at the Third Congress, that the objective situation remains revolutionary. Within its own framework capitalism can find no energies that will provide an escape from the definitive crisis of the entire capitalist woild.

Next we come to the international political situation. Its character, likewise, is such as to entitle us to affirm that the oppositions are being intensified day by day, and that the international situation remains objectively revolutionary. During the last fifteen months the decay of the Entente has advanced with giant strides. What we have been witnessing has been tantamount to a liquidation of the Peace

of Versailles, and this decay of the Peace of Versailles is still proceeding. Bourgeois pacifism, whose most notable leader is Lloyd George, is utterly bankrupt. The Genoa Conference and the Hague Conference have affixed the seals to the bankruptcy of bourgeois pacifism. The electoral struggle now proceeding in Britain bears witness to an unprecedented poverty ef ideas among the capitalist parties. The nature of the fight between the classic bourgeois parties in the land which was the pioneer in capitalist development shows that no trace of principle is left to either party. We note au absolute spiritual collapse. The struggle is one between coteries, one which merely serves to underline what was already plain enough, that bourgeois pacifism is bankrupt, and that the capitalist parties are no longer in a position to fight one another on broad grounds of principle.

The colonial and semi-colonial countries which constitute one of the most important factors of the process we denote by the name of tha world revolution, have during this period raised their combat to a very high level. We see that in quite a number of oppressed countries, despite all the efforts of the imperialist governments, the liberationist movement makes continual progress. I think that among the communists no one to-day will contest the assertion that this struggle, although it is neither socialist nor communist, is neverthelesss, objectively considered, a struggle against the capitalist regime. The great movements which we have been watching in India and in the colonial and semi-colonial countries are by no means communistic, but disipassionately considered, they rate as an mportant factor in the light aginst capitalism.

Bourueois democracy, whose decline we have been witnessing for several years, is now perishing more obviously month by month. What is the meaning of the events in Italy? Are they not an unprecedented attack on bourgeois democracy? Italy was one of the countries where bourgeois democracy was most hallowed. The Fascist onslaught is an attack, not only upon the monarchical ideal, but also upon the ideal of bourgeois democracy. upon the ideal of bourgeois democracy. revolution. It is part of the proof to something forced upon us by the prestige because a band of desperadoes ment does not proceed along a

has thrust him aside politically, before various episodes may intervene, prestige of the whole regime of bout What we are witnessing in Italy is a democracy has been lowered bout the revolutionary movement. democracy has been lowered. We counter-revolutionary movement. But keep clearly before our minds therein we take a broad view, we see that happenings in Italy are not as happenings in Italy are not simply t is only an episodic intensification, a phenomena. Other countries in the maturing of the prelater. nappenings in Italy are not simply t is only an episodic intensification, a phenomena. Other countries will stage in the maturing of the proletarian phenomena. Other countries will stage in the maturing of the proletarian may table experience what Italy is experience in italy. The same thing may table experience what Italy is experience aid of the proletarian movement in cing, though perhaps in a modified estail of the proletarian movement in cing, though perhaps in a modified estail of important countries. If the Fascisti maintain power in the general terms, then, the international intensification, a political situation in table intensification, a phenomena. Other countries will stage in the maturing of the proletarian may table experience what Italy is experience in the maturing of the proletarian may table experience what Italy is experience and in the maturing of the proletarian may table experience what Italy is experience in the maturing of the proletarian movement in cing, though perhaps in a modified estail of the proletarian movement in table. It is experienced in the maturing of the proletarian movement in cing, though perhaps in a modified estail of the proletarian movement in so during the immediate luture, all policient the last fifteen months. The can be little doubt that similar occupind Congress was right in declaring ces will take place in Germany, and that no equilibrium has been secured in haps throughout Central Europe haps throughout Central Europe. Apitalist Europe; and it was also right ness government in Germany won pointing out that events of great imsomewhat different in form from pointing out that events of great imsomewhat different in form from sortance, such as parlimentary conflicts, Fascist Government in Italy. In subsystemsive strikes, etc., might readily lead to the proposition of the foregoing of the foregoing the strikes of the foregoing of the foregoing the strikes. the two would be identical. Again to revolutionary struggles. The foregoing is now happening in Austria is sketch of the postion will have shown akin to the Italian situation. It to hat the diagnosis of the Third Congress blow directed against bourgeois deas sound. Recent events in the Balkan racy, which in Austria has hithert seninsula testify to the growing acutedefended, not only by the capitalines of the political situation. In connecties and the Second Internationalion with the Greco-Turkish War, the also by the Two and-a-Half Interagreeire of a new great war loomed omi-

In Czecho-Slovakia we see the pously for a brief space. We seemed to naries to such a counter-revolute witnessing a rehearsal of the coming transformation. Of Hungary it is war. At this very time when I am speto speak. The Fascisti learned thing to you there are renewed complison from Hungary. In the Balkan lations in the situation, such as might and especially in Yugo-Slavia threadily lead to disaster. My own estimate where there is a very numerous industindications that things are taked the situation is that war is not yet rial proletariat, at the decisive moment miniment, but the Balkan flurry was a same turn as in Italy.

We must look facts in the factreshadowing of what cannot fail to come is essential during a period that the social revolution breaks out the trend of the most influential part of last very long, but will be a list, thus depriving the capitalist States trial for our Communist Partie of the possibility of organising a new perhaps inevitable that we should r.

through an epoch of more or le The future, therefore, remains uncertfeetly developed Fascism through all, but the collapse of the capitalist tral Europe, and this will necessaristem is also in sight when we confine peour attention to the complications in the volve that for a considerable political field. Simultaneously we note an these regions our Parties will underground, will become illegal imprecedented strengthening of the poliical position of Russia, the only revolu-The Executive sent special envoy months ago to some of our most donary State which has been able to mainain itself for five years. tant Parties, warning them of ! to prepare for a period of illegal

will be necessary to discuss the new conomic policy in detail when we come just as in Italy to-day. The poli tuation at the present time, when we come consider the Russian question. I will, holding our Fourth Congress, undergoe, not anticipate, but will conent myself with recapitulating what I make it perfectly clear to ourse lave come to the conclustion that the this is not to imply an arrest of the policy was no chance matter, it was tely confirms these anticipations.

weakness of many of our Communist Parties. It was something greater than this. You are right in saying, with many of the best friends of Soviet Russia, that if Russia found it necessary to adopt a new economic policy, this was because the German, French, and British workers were too weak to overthrow the bourgeoisie in their respective lands. This is true enough, but it is not the whole truth. We have come to the conclusion that the necessity for the new policy is not something peculiar to our own land, in which the peasants form so large a majority of the population. We now believe that all, or nearly all, countries, even those with great proletarian masses, will have to pass through some such political phase. The new economic policy is something more than a result of our weakness, or of the weakness of the world proletariat; it is based upon an accurate recognition of the balance of power between the workers on the one hand and the peasants and petty bourgeois on the

Of course the peasantry in such a country as Russia differs from the German peasantry. Nevertheless, alike in Germany and in the other countries where capitalist development is far advanced and the working class will have to adopt a whole system of measures to neutralise the peasantry. The workers, in fact, will have to use just such methods as we have used in Russia. We shall return to their consideration in connection with the Russian problem.

In a survey of the political world situation, we must not fail to take into account the Soviet Republic as a factor of primary importance. At a moment when the Entente is collapsing, when the colonial and semi-colonial nations are engaging in intensified struggles when, the war spectre hovers over the Balkan peninsula, and when the equilibrium of the capitalist world is trembling—at this very time the position of Soviet Russia is being rendered increasingly stable by the adoption of new economic methods. Thereby Soviet Russia has become a titanic factor in world policy. The star of the first proletarian Republic rises ever higher.

The copit dist offensive is an international pher menon, and is one of the facto see kug for revolution, The working Class has by yet been able to arrest that effective. There are, however, numerous in a att ns, in France and elsewhere, that in the near future a change in this 1 spect may be anticipated. The workers are closing ranks for defence, and will

121 I the capitalist offensive.

I now turn to the situation within the Liber movement. In this domain the most notable phenomenon is the amalgamation of the Second and the Two and a-Half Internationals, an amalgamation that will be effected very soon. In Germany the matter has already been settled, and vesterday came the news of a similar settlement in Sweden. Branting has accepted the Left Social Democrats into his party. The same thing will take place elsewhere. In point of organisation, the union is not yet complete; but politically it is an accomplished fact, and it is a fact of great historical importance. The Second International is the enemy of the working class. No detailed proof need be offered in support of the assertion that the Two-and-a-Half International is being absorbed into the Second International; the process is not the other way about. Sufffice it to quote the words of Martoff, tries as Britain and Germany. In s one of the spiritual leaders of the Twoand-a-Half Iuternational, and in many respects the intellectual superier of his associates. He writes as follows in an article in his newspaper "Der sozialistische Bote" dealing with the problem of the Second International:

"Let us harbour no illusions. Under present conditions, the mechanical amalgamation of the two Internationals signifies the return to the Second International of the parties that detached themselves from that body in the hope of founding a very different International. The return is a defeat of these parties."

Martoff makes no secret of his opinion. It is true that at the close he finds some consolation for the members of the Two-anda-Half International, saying: "Within the Second International we shall defend Marxism". But, none the less, he admits that the Two-and-a-Half International is returning into the bosom of the Second Inter-

its weakening. I need not waste timeal aim. of the government in Germany, or as Pilsudsky at the head of the go ter that the Second International s be playing a decisive role in such land as Germany, the situation is cally altered when the trade unions a solid front with the mass of won No illusions then! The union of the munist Parties.

In the second place, this union w unity of the trade unions. There is at finds expression in the union of the reason for this course. The reformished and the Two-and-a-Half Internaplainly enough that the ground is mals. cut from beneath their feet. Histor This split in the labor movement is ing class, the general influence of numbered by millions. At the given

There will, then, be a union of the shade as if they had been differentiated in the state of the on our side must also say: "Let us suggest that they are directly commission-bour no illusions!" The union of the d by to pursue such a policy. We all cond and the Two-and-e-Half Intergood that political life is less simple mals means two things. First of the would imply of course Stinger nals means two things. First of a han this would imply. Of course, Stinnes means the preparation of the White loss not issue direct written orders to ror against the communists. The Fache trade union leaders as his henchmen. coup is connected with the world police the general political sense of the term, situation, and so is the coup that powerer, the socialist leaders are comat bringing governments à la Stinn nissioned by the bourgeoisie to shatter the front. The union of the Second he trade unions before leaving them. the Two-and-a-Half Internationals ists they go out, they want to slam the preliminary to an unprecedented splinters so violently that all the trade union up of the working class with a vierindows may be broken. This is their

insisting that this union really fore No one can tell whether these developows a period of White Terror dingents will take months or years, but against the communists. Not by char her are a historical necessity, and the Mussolini, a renegade from the Second International realise International, a sometime social demet. That is why the same phenomenon is now at the head of the counter-revolvanifest everywhere—a deliberate prepaary movement in Italy; not by clation for a split at the moment when are such as Ebert and Noske at the ley feel that large masses of the trade mionists are about to come over to our ie. They wish to weaken the working ment in Poland. Nor is it a chance us, to pulverise the trade unions, so at when we come into power in the hions we shall find nothing but fragents. That is what they are commissionby the bourgeoisie to do, and it will e an act of unexampled treachery. In comarison therewith, even the treason of 114 was perhaps a minor matter. A deberate act of treachery is now being Internationals foreshadows the inauthernationals foreshadows the inauthernational foreshadows the inauther rking class, so that when the time cos for the workers to form a united front ainst the bourgeoisie, the workers will volve the splitting of the Working lid themselves weak, disorganised, and We communists are now advocating terly disintegrated. Such is the policy

speaking, this is inevitable. It is mething more than a petty episode, table that the trade unions, should mething more than a trifle; it is a trelution take a normal course, will indous problem. Despite all its errors under the control of the communistic defeats, despite the treachery of its reformists have a keen scent. They were, the working class has fought for reformists have a keen scene. that a achieved a huge organisation in the influence of the communists over the trade unions, whose members

The green displaced is a revolutionary national, and that the former has sur ing this instinctively, they try to avert moment, this organisation must play a structure. the momentous hour approaches, the Second International joins with the Amsterdamers in an act of the utmost treachery. against the working class. Their aim is to shatter to fragments this great organisation, this last refuge of the working class, in order that when we get rid of the social democrats, we may find that there is nothing left to take over, that we have no labor organisations that are of any account. That is the most important fact with which we have to reckon. The social democrats and the Amsterdamers are foredoomed to become the professional disintegrators of the working class, not merely to betray the workers, not merely to interfere with the policy of the working class, but directly to break up the workers' weapons, the labor organisations. This will be the policy of the reunited Second and Two-and-a-Half Internationals during the immediate future. and it is a fact with which we have to reckon.

It follows, comrades, that our tactics of the united front must be regarded as something more than a strategical move against our enemies. Nav more, it is our duty to do so. The policy of the united front, however, is dictated by the historical situation as a whole, by the general position of capitalist alike in the economic and in the political sphere, and by the state of affairs within the working class. If I am right in my view of the policy of the Second and the Two-and-a-Half Internationals, if I am right in belie. ving that the tactics of the deliberate splitting of the trade unions and of the working class will be adopted in the near future, then our tactics of the united front are an inevitable and logical consequence. There are many reasons why we must deliberately work against this plan of the Second International. We must do so by our tactics of the united front.

At the Third Congress we accepted the task of winning over the majority of the workers. Has this task been fulfilled? No, not yet. We must state the fact boldly. In many countries, the influence of our Party has considerably increased. Nevertheless, we could not say at the Third Congress that the majority of the workers were on our side, nor can we say

at the Fourth Congress that they are on our side. There is much still to be done. in such circumstances, the tactics of the united from are the most important means of winning over the majority of the workers. We must be perfectly clear on the matter. The lactics of the united front denote something more than an episode in our struggle; they denote a period, perhaps an entire epoch. As circumstances change, we may perhaps find it necessary to modify these tactics. In the main, however, masmuch as the Second International is our chief enemy and is the main prop of the bourgoisie, we shall have to hold fast to these tactics.

From the economic outlook, capitalism is ripe for the transformation to socialism. The world political situation is one that may be characterised as revolutionary. The Second International is the main prop of the bourgeoisie. Without the help of the Second International and the Amsterdam International, the bourgeoisie cannot hold its ground. It follows that our relationship with the Second International is something more than a question of party tactics; it is part of the problem of the world revolution, of the tactics of our class as a whole. Inasmuch as the united Second International will for years to come work directly in favour of a split, we shall be enabled to win over the masses of the workers by purposely counteracting by our tactics of the united front, the efforts towards disintegrating the workers. Let me repeat, we are not dealing with an episode, but with an e och.

The tactics of the united front have already proved advantageous to us in many ways. I do not mean that they have enabled us to win over the majority of the workers. Were that so, the game would already be in our hands. Still, we have gained a great deal. We have gained this, that the working class is coming to realise that the Communist Parties are not the disintegrators, and that it is the opponents of the communists that are working for disintegration. Until recently, the workers held another view, and perhaps they had some reason for doing so. At one time, in our efforts to defend the interests of the workers as a whole, we had to split the old Social Democratic Party. We should have betrayed the working class had we

failed to take this course. It was essential to secure a rallying point for a gen liberating movement of the wor class, and this could only be done the creation of a Communist Party of the working class.

against the tactics of the United have laid down their arms, and, i important fact that not only the F communists but also most of the st alists have now accepted the tact the United Front. Yesterday we brief talk with our friends in the Un General Confederation of Labour. we asked: "Are you still opposed" United Front?" they answered laconic "We have formed a United ! Whoever follows the situation in I the centralists and the United Go Confederation of Labor have deliber adopted a United Front, for they not help themselves. The needs daily struggle of the proletariat forced the adoption of the tactics United Front, both in the industria in the political field upon all who to defend the interests of the W class. The winning over of the nents of the United Front in France been a great triumph, and it show we are closing our ranks and to shall be ab'e to pursue tactics car thought out in advance.

what no by the United Front? We t man to mean an electoral alliance, talli y do not mean an electoral alliance. have instituted an enquiry concerning have measured in sugarry concerning out of the tactics of the carrying out of the enquiry has been ited front, and the enquiry has been of the successful. Three hundred to four this period we had to accept the of scissionists, for only by splitting old social democratic parties could forge the instrument for the liber, masses. The details are now being masses, and will probably be issued borated, and will probably be issued Now, however, we have entered a book form. The enquiry has shown historical phase. We have finished i much confusion still prevails among task of the previous phase. There comrades as to the precise meaning exist Communist Parties, which the United Front. I have already indeed contain vestiges of social deplained that it does not mean an eleccracy, which do indeed suffer from ral alliance. Nor does it mean an diseases incidental to childhood, which canisatory union with the social demotroubled with growing pains, and wats. The answers we have received must be freed from these disorders in the executive committees of the our main problem now concerns dian and of the French Parties show winning over of the majority of at many of the comrades have made workers, in order to save and win platter mistake. But an organisatory the trade unions, the chief weapor non with the social democrats would the disposal of the world prolet the greatest crime we could commit. That is why we have adopted the ta veryone of us would rather have a hand of the United Front. I do not antique off than enter into a union with any serious disputes about the more traitors to the working class, with at this Congress. In France, the last ose who are preeminently our enemies, the Mohicans, those who had still to ith those who are the last prop of the surgeoisie. The United Front implies thing of that sort. The United Front deans the leading of the working asses in the daily class war. It Pans that we are ready to march against pitalism side by side with all workers, be y anarchists, syndicalists, Christian scialists, social democrats, or whatever n please to join forces with them in r daily struggle against the reduction wages and against the loss of the 8 hour y. We accept the fact that we shall is well aware that in that country den have to sit at table with the treaperous leaders. The foregoing is what united front means, and nothing else. think that the problem is solved as far the Comintern is concerned, and I think has been solved even for the French arty, the one where the greatest confuon has hitherto prevailed upon this

ands of the working class. I was shown aday an article written a little while 20 hy Comrade Gorter. I have not finireading the article, but I will quote Passage from it. The passage runs as

what do we mean and what do we follows. "We must oppose every strike. You will perhaps ask, why oppose every strike. I reply, because we must reserve all our energies for propaganda in favour of the revolution." Further on he writes: "We are so few, the ranks of the K.A.P.D. are so thin, that we dare not dissipate our forces in strikes, but must keep them intact so as to concentrate upon the revolution."

> This manner of thinking is so confused that one stands speechless before the childishness of such a political thinker. He has no time to fight alongside the workers. in their daily struggle against the bourgeoisic. He wants to help the whole revolution. He who feels for the working class, he who is not satisfied with a subjective attitude towards the masses, but who, on the contrary, understands some. thing about the lives of the workers and who has laboured in their ranks, will reject such childishness. For the very reason that we wish to light on behalf of the proletarian revolution, we must participate in every strike, must go in advance of the working class, and must fight on behalf of every partial demand. We are revolutionists. But this does not mean that we ignore the fact that the position of the working class must be improved, were it only to enable the workers to buy a drop of milk for their children. We are opposed to reformism but we are not opposed to anything that may improve the lot of the working class. We know quite well that in the extant conditions of capitalism the possibilities for such improvement are extremely restricted; we know that nothing but the revolution will secure a real uplitug of the workers: but we also know that we shall never be able to organise the workers unless we fight on behalf of their partial demands. It is from this outlook that we defend the united front as a tactic which is not simply ephemeral, which is not simply episodic, but which in the existing circumstances of capitalism may last quite a while.

The watchword of the Labor Govern-We shall also fight for the partial de- ment has not yet been fully clarified. The tactics of the united front are almost universally applicable. It would be hard to find a country where the working class has attained notable proportions but where the tactics of the united front have not

applicable in America, in Bulgaria, in class nust be made clearly to under Italy, and in Germany. By no means can that a Labor Government can only the same thing be said of the watchword transitional stage. We must say in of the Labor Government. This latter is, terms that the Labor Government far less universally applicable, and its significance is comparatively restricted. It can only be adopted in those countries where the relationships of power render its adoption opportune, where the problem of power, the problem of government both on the parliamentary and on the extraparliamentary field, has come to the front. Of course even to-day in the United States good propaganda work can be done with the slogan of the Labor Government. We can explain to the workers. If you want to free yourselves, you must take power into your own hands. But we cannot say, in view of the present relationships of power in the United States, that the watchword of the Labor Government is applicable to an existing fight between two parties, as it has been in Czecho-Slovakia, as it will be perhaps in Germany, and as it was and may be again in Italy.

The watchword of the Labor Government, then is not a general watchword like the tactics of the united front, The watchword "Labor Government" is a particular concrete application of the tactics of the united front under certain specific conditions. It is quite easy to make mistakes in this matter. I think we have to beware of the danger that results from an attempt to regard the stage of Labor Government as a universally necessary one. In so far as it is safe to prophesy in such matters, I myself incline to the view that a Labor Government will only come into existence occasionally, in one country or another, where peculiar circumstances prevail. I think its occurrence will be exceptional. Besides, it is quite a mistake to suppose that the formation of a Labor Government will inaugurate a quasipeaceful period, and that thereby we shall be saved from the burden of the struggle. A Labor Government can only he based upon the winning of parliamentary positions, and these are worth nothing when won. A Labor Government will be no more than a petty episode in the struggle, and will not suspend the civil war. Please do not interpret me as meaning that the watchword of the La-

yet been inaugurated. They are equally in existing circumstances. The was not do away with the need for figh will not obviate the necessity for war. But as long as we recognis dangers of this watchword, we need hesitate to employ it.

> The United Front has its dangers and the executive referred to the its December thesis. The danger especially great when the United takes the form of the Labor Govern In countries with old parliamentary ditions, in France, for instance rades seem to think that when we ists speak of the Labor Governmen are referring to something align different from the dictatorship proletariat. But to us it seems that Labor Government is only one am tion of the dictatorsaip of the prolei Even if a Labor Government should c we cannot avoid the civil war. In cer circumstances the civil war will ever intensified by the existence of a l Government.

I cannot refrain from saying a a special section of my thesis. In a closely associated with the bourgeoisie, contend that a Parry which has no munist organisation in industrial which has no communist nuclei, is its machinations we must be strongly to be taken seriously, cannot be regar organised, and must have a genuine and as a serious communist mass part contend that a Labor movement we be the task of the task of the Fourth has not yet learned how to support Congress to maintain this discipline and organise a mass movement within the carry it into effect, domain of the industrial councils, is in Germany, where important and sive struggles are probably imminent whole vanguard of the movement is other lands, we must advise our rades to devote themselves first of a founding communist nuclei within industries, and then to supporting industrial councils' movement. Not bor Government is one to be rejected ment. Many of our Parties have he ing better than a subtle form of oppor-

to carry out this advice. At the Third Congress we adopted an admirable reso-Congression drafted by Comrade Kunsinen, to the effect that every Communist Party the entered devote itself to the formation of nuclei-whatever the general line of its activities might be. But it is futile to adopt excellent resolutions if nothing be done to carry them into effect. We must see to it that the nuclei are really founded. Then our movement will forge

l must also add a few words concerning international discipline. In the thesis rencerning the tactics of the United Front, proposed by the Renoult group at the Paris Congress of the French Party. there was a section concerning internaplenal discipline. Golden words are here inscribed. The group gave a brilliant theoretical demonstration that nothing could be done without discipline, and that the International would perish unless and discipline were maintained. Golden words, I say. But this same group gave a practical demonstration how wide a gap there can sometimes be between realm of action. Our tactics of the Vnimovement. I have devoted to this me There exists an International which is and which consistently works in opposition to us. If we are successfully to resist rigidly disciplined International. It will

yet a serious revolutionary mass m the near future. Many excellent comrades ment. The statement is applicable murmur when they hear me say this. almost all the great labor movem They declare that the world revolution of our day. It is a sign of the times has been arrested for a time. The advance will not be resumed until the material position of the Russian workers has so greatly improved that they are better by the industrial councils. Turning of than the average European and Ameconomic advantages of the Russian workers will arouse a revolutionary impetus, and there will be a renewed surge of revolution.

tunism, though such views are advanced by many who are revolutionarily minded and good soldiers of the International. I will confine myself to a word or two upon the subject. It is an undoubted fact that the position of the Russian workers. is on the upgrade to-day, not the downgrade. The upward trend in Russia is slow, but it is unmistakable. No doubt a day will come when the economic position of the Russian worker will be better than that of the European worker which is on the downgrade. The upward trend in Russia. is slow, but it is unmistakable. No doubt a day will come when the economic position of the Russian worker will be better than that of the European worker. But it is pure opportunism to say that it is impossible to lead a revolutionary struggle on the part of the workers of capitalist countries so long as Russian conditions remain difficult.

The real revolution will not be made by the workers in various countries because of an example drawn from other lands; it will not be made in any country because the workers there envy those words and deeds. The best proof of in- in some other country who have more ternational discipline is provided in the meat. The revolution will occur because the workers will find themselves in difwords concerning the industrial coun ted Front are now extremely complex. ficulties from which there is no exit without the overthrow of the bourgeoisie. Consequently we must not allow those tones to become dominant in our agitation which imply that there is an arrest in the revolutionary movement. The Russian workers had far more numerous obstacles to overcome than those which impede the revolutionary progress of the workers in other lands. The revolutionary workers all over the world will have the support Decisive struggles will be upon us in of the Russian workers. The Russian workers were the first to rise in revolt, and they were opposed by the entire capitalist world. It is unlikely that the working class of any other country will have such great difficulties to encounter. To the working class throughout the world we must present the picture of the Russian proletariat in its true colours. tien worker. Then the example of the speaking of the blockade, of hunger, and of pestilence, and of the greatness which trinmphed over all obstacles. We can now be satisfied that the Russian working class, despite all its sufferings, is past the worst, and that from hour to hour, day to day, and month to month, imcovernents are coming. Such must be wards the future work. No sharp distant er corporation of the Russian revolution; this emception must be the basis of all or tarti s. Applause).

The Chairman next called upon Bordiga respeak up u a peint of order. Bordiga

Comrad s, I make the following proposals in the name of the Italian delega-

First, that we shall discuss and vote upon Zineviev's report concerning the activi v and tactics of the Executive Committee of the Communist International ing to the time of the Fourth Congress.

Secondly, that we shall discuss and vote upon the question of the tactics of the International after the Fourth Con-

behalf of these proposals. They seem to me perfectly legical. The two questions are of an entirely different character. all the more seeing that two texts, a traft resolution of the Fourth Congress on the report of the Executive Committee i the Communist International; and seandly, the first draft thesis on the tac- the following general form: Have ics of the Communist International edited tactics been right, and are they t by Comrade Zinoviev.

I think that we shall all agree to proaced at once to the discussion of the sent in their names, and I shall there past activities of the Executive Committee in relation to the general policy of the International; and that then we shall (Translations were then given). devote curselves (perhaps appointing a recial commission, for I think this idea the Italian delegation's proposal, will commend itself to the Congress) to examine the draft thesis on the tactics of the Communist International, I mean, to define the tactics which the Communist International ought to pursue in the

(Bordiga spoke in French, and Radek then translated his remarks into German, addity the following comments).

Bordiga's proposal amounts to this,

that the discussion is to be broken up words only anent Comrade Zin v mto two parts. We are first to consider work of the Executive Committee for to the date of the Fourth Congress, ma are then to discuss the future work the Comintern. I have the following that as chairman of the International tjections to this proposal.

so integral whole, comprising a settle- knows the way to Comrade Smeral, w

ction is drawn between these two Zinoviev's report. The question ari whether we can discuss the past with mooting the perspectives of the future There does not lie between the Four Congress and our coming work the ne spect of any new happening which mi nullify or modify our tactics. Consequent a judgment concerning past tacties ; the same time an anticipation of futactics. If at this Congress we ded that we are dissatisfied with the tack of the united front and with the methof work in the various countries. methods that have been supported the Executive Committee, a decision to the future will thereby have h taken, and the further work of the Co I need not speak at great length on gress will consist merely of matters detail. As far as general political pri ciples are concerned, we shall have thing more to decide. We shall more have to work out our strategy in der and to apply it in individual corners For this reason I am of the opinion our discussion of the report should to

The Chairman: No other speakers put Bordiga's proposal to the vote

Various comrades: After the transini

The Chairman: I now put to th v effect that the discussion be divid two parts, as Comrade Zmoviv's ru was divided.

(The motion was lost).

The Chairman: The matter is no for general discussion. I have his call upon the (zecho-Slovakian delg) Vajtaner.

Vajtaner spoke as follows.

I propose for the moment to say a speech of yesterday concerning C Slovakian affairs. Yesterday Zino passed judgment on the Czecho-Slova question. We were very much surp had not taken the trouble to gath? The Agenda of the Congress constitutes information from both sides. He ment with the past and an outlook to- touch these observations betray. But w Will rule speech, and when they note the points truth? Zinoviev says that in Fremplary, indeed, Comrades! At a time a few days become so serious that the and to lead them, the Communist Interparimal has nothing at all to say to the workers. Only when the other parties ave expressed their views and have resolutions does the Communist by come forward with demands. These demands are those formulated by Comrale Lenin in June 1917. At that time. however, the situation in Czecho-Slovakia nited front has been so constituted that or comrides were invited by our own laders to attend the national socialist etings. But there, counter-revolutionary speches were made, and counter-revoluheary resolutions passed. The communist akers did not say a word against the inter-revolutionary resolutions, and the rmunist workers had to vote on these solutions under the tutelage of the nain I socialists. This has sown dismay d has wrought confusion in our ranks. To initiative has passed entirely to the outenal socialists. Only two committees ve been formed in two petty districtswo little united front committees. This " s exemplary!

Yet more exemplary was the growth the influence of the United Front. At the moment there was a governmental erisis, and various governmental combitions were tried. In an interview with fereign newspaper correspondent Mawyk said: "Perhaps we might be able work well enough with the Communist Party, but not until it has expelled is left wing. When that has been done, we shall be able to enter into serious golations with the Communist Party." Tis was followed by leading articles and puragraphs of like tenor in all the argeois and social-patriotic journals. that is why Smeral took up his parable callist the left wing. By provocative

will cur workers think when they fead left wing. Two days before the excess a of the left. Wenkiw, the agr ri . wr The points in it which directly conflict about the expulsion as if it had be by taken place, although it did not et ily with the white did not et ily crecho-Slovakia the united front has happen until two days later. The experimental transfer of the crecho-slovakia the united front has happen until two days later. The experimental transfer of the crecho-slovakia the united front has happen until two days later. The experimental transfer of the crecho-slovakia the united front has happen until two days later. established in exemplary fashion. Sign of the Unit-1 Front did not extend merely to the national socialists, but when the industrial situation has within when the become so serious that the geois ranks even as far as Masaryk. Had a few days have been looking around for not the workers massed that forces in smeane to say a word of encouragement support of the expe' I, being primpt to realise the danger, and they for s. wa their opposition to Lab r Party comidiations on a purely parliamentary sis such as have been advagated by Sweral. Votava, and othes, we enalt indeed have had an exemplary United Front Ir would have been a United Front ranging from the Communist Party through the Social Democrats an! the Agrar rs as utterly different. In these circum- including Barg and Masaryk Any ne who onces, this was a blow in the face. The recommends a united front of that charges r is a strange sort of friend to the Communist Party. The upshot has leen that has Party has been completely rent in tware, and the two parts are both incommetent for the struggle.

Interiection: Ohto

Zinoviev also says that the political trend of the majority of those we have been expelled form the Communist Party of Czecho-Shovakia is unsound. ile says that we must not support the lett elements. So be it! But the workers will say that the Third International apprives everything that our Party Executive described approves the complete inactivity of the Party in all industrial struggles: it even approves that the communist metal workers in Kladro, on the iritative of their opportunist leaders, should act as strikebreakers without a word of dissert on the part of the Executive of the Communist Party of Cocho-Slovakia: it ceran tv approves that not long ago in Aradaow the secretary of the Miners' Calen Cald have betrayed the strikers at the Ostian mines by accepting without a fight the 25% reduction of wages deman 'ed by the owners, although this was preeds to what the Ostrau workers had struck char st. Moreover the Executive approves all the confusion in the trade-union movement. the climax of the confusion coming in the matter of the recent made mion conheasures he was able to get rid of this gress, which was a complete lasco, thanks

rk will y that it must be a tree full to thought indeed that is willin the responsibility of all

ti time We re tell that the opposition trend proceed anarchism. (Hear! Hear!). For il the expelled heal organisations and or de mione to organise a great indusund urion, is anarchism? When they d vote themselves to trade union work in order to protect the unions from the pportunist, and in order to carry out detail work, this is anarcho-syndicalism? To proclaim a general strike is K.A.P.D.m (Kommunist-Arbeiter-Partei-Deutschlands! The wish to make of the Party a fighting force is anarchism once more? Smeral is right when he says that only the anarchists, and the communists, can wish to organise the unemployed and lead them in the struggle, for the unemployed are, putschist (futile revolt) elements! To vitalise the United Front committees by an active fighting program and to hinder Smeral from making of the United Front a national front extending so as to include the clericalists, Masaryk, and the Government-this is anarchism! To oppose a humbugging Labor Government on a parliamentary basis with its United Front committees which are to be called into life by legislaion, and established on a legal basis, is also anarchism! If all these things are what the altogether. Apart from the mistakes Third International understands to be anarchism and K. A. P. D.-ism, our Czecho-Slovakian workers will say: In that case sions induced them to advocate a poli we are really anarchists, and wish to of isolation which was tantamount to to remain so, for thus alone can we be ning the Communist International in faithful to our proletarian trust.

to tell us that our case is not on all fours with that of Paul Levi. He does not endeavouring to carry out the decision seem to have grasped the nature of the arrived at in International comradely motives which led Smeral to expel from liberations. the Party those who, according to his own characterisation, were its best elements. We have this much to say to which, according to Comrade Zinovier Comrade Zinoviev: Not merely was there statement, is not to be considered as is likely to crop up, real mistakes have that of Levi; there was no breach of dis-

to the provision of the Czecho-Slovakian want to let Comcade Zinoviev know a shall commit them just as often as attack is made on the Party.

state whether he spoke on his own half or on behalf of the Czecho-Sig delegation. We must be quite clear ox to the peculiar views expressed by comrade. A voice from the Czech on sition: "He spoke in the name of whole opposition and the expelled mrades.

Ernest Meyer .- (Germany).

since the III World Congress and the remarks of Comrade Zinoviev, in most essential points. We only prop concerning the various countries

have been disposed of.

Comrade Zinoviev has not changed in t main since the time of the III World Co gress. We must admit that this situate has not been properly appreciated in the countries. In some countries it been analysed in an exaggerated manu from it, while various other gro (partly also in Germany) refused to cognise the correctness of this analy an opportunist nature, which were f quently made, some comrades' cond a sect. I believe that the German Part Comrade Zinoviev has been good enough has shown by its attitude and action since the last World Congress that it

is occupying our attention at present, a communist have to carry on.

ttack is made on the Party.

Kolarov. Will the comrade kin out duly clarified the situation within attempt the companied the late whether he spoke on his car party, the Communist Inches the in persuading the non-Communist worin Persuant the communists were really striving to fight in common with them, such that they were misrepresented by their opponents.

It is self-evident that in the application of these tactics, misunderstandings appeared even among our friends. Comrade Zinoviev has already drawn our accord with the policy of the Executivation to several of them. Some com-United Front tactics in the light of an election agreement with the social-demoeratic and even bourgeois parties. They should be voted upon after the quest consider these tactics as a preliminary to an organic amalgamation, and it is no use denying that such understandings Comrades, the situation described have appeared here and there not only among the non-party workers, but even

within our own Party.

Moreover, the fear has been expressed that the negotiations with the reformist leaders, instead of bringing about cooperation among the workers, have only done harm to our cause. On the strength and exaggerated deductions were dranged our experiences during the Rathenau campaign, we can definitely state that in many districts and localities it was only owing to the negotiations and consultations with these leaders that harmonious cooperation and a common struggle were brought about. Some comrades assert that the united front must only rest on an economic basis, and should not be extended to the political field. This is also a wrong conception. Our own experience has taught us, that in the present situation such a division is entirely out of the question. Comrade Zinoviev was quite right in opposing those (also in the German Party) who are making such The question of the united front, while a distinction in the struggles which the

mere episode, but as a period of Commalso been made by our Party. These nist tactics, has been very much discu mistakes were quite frankly acknowledged cipline at all. It is the sacred duty of sed in Germany. The only fault I has at our Party meeting and in Party resothe workers to draw attention to the to find with Comrade Zinoviev's state lations. It is not necessary to discuss ment is his omission to acknowledge the these matters again, as this has been a sacred duty to steer clear of political the discussions and the application done very exhaustively at meetings in chicanery. That is what we did, and we these tactics were greatly furthered the Central Committee and in the Press.

the Berlin Conference. We are in a po- I hould like only to dra attention to the form to state that this conference has one thing; much a it i, to be de ired order to avoid them in the future, it must be borne in mind t at critic'm must not make u' forget e' ential'. For in tance, the statement of the Czec comrade concerning the mittaket made during the application of the United Front tactic, it tantamoust to rejection of these tactics. If the comrades from the Czeci opposition have not ing eleto say, not only on the question of breaches of discipline, but also on taetical and practical questions. I am convinced that the comrades on whose behalf the statement was made by the last speaker, will have sat down for the last time at the same table with communists.

> There must be elasticity in the application of the United Front tactics. It must be adapted to various phases, and it would be quite wrong to consider it always as negotiations between leaders or between various parties. These united front tactics must take various forms according to the situation and if some comrades consider the attitude of the German party during the Rathenau campaign and during the railway strike as opposed to the factory committee movement, they are labouring under a misunderstanding. The establishment and consolidation of the factory committee movement have resulted from the attitude taken up by the German party since the III World Congress. We should not have a factory committee movement such as the present if we had not consistently applied the united front tactics, thus getting into closer touch with the masses (Hear! Hear) and penetrating into the trade unions and industrial concerns, in a word, wherever the workers con-

The amalgamation of the U.S. P. and the S. P. D. is also a result of the improved tactics of the Party by getting rid of misunderstandings, which were the result of a previous and quite different situation and by making it more difficult for the hostile organisations of the S. P. D. and the U. S. P. This is a great step forward for us, in as much as it does away with the illusion that there is room for another intermediate organimonst parties within one country,

The most difficult question which we had to solve in connection with the United Front tactics - (and which we have probably not yet solved) - is the question of the Workers Government. We must differentiate between social democratic governments and Workers Governments. We have social democratic governments in Germany - in Saxony, Thuringia and formerly also in Gothagovernments which we had to support but which have nothing in common with what we understand by Workers Government. If we desire that the International should support the idea of the Workers Government and if we wish that this watchword should be adopted by the brother parties that are working approximately under similar conditions to ours, this does not mean that we expect them to aim at the establishment of social democratic governments and to participate in them, but merely that they should struggle for Workers Governments, thus making our struggle easier. The chief difference between a Workers and a social democratic government is—that the former without bearing the label of a socialist policy is really putting socialist - communist policy into practice. Thus, the workers' government will not be based on parliamentary action alone it will have to be based on the support of the wide masses, and its policy will be fundamentally different from that of the social democratic governments such as those existing in some of the countries of Germany.

This gives rise to the following question which was already outlined by Comrade Zinoviev: is the workers' government a necessary phase of the labour movement in the various countries? Our answer to this is: no, it is not an inevitable, but a historically probable phase of the labour movement. It is quite possible that such workers' governments will be established and will be able to hold out for a certain period. This is also an answer to the second question: can a workers' government be of long duration, or will it be only very transitory? In order to answer this question satisfactorily one must have a clear idea of what constitutes a workers' government, and whether there is

ation between the reformist and com- any difference between a workers' government and the dielectorship of the ment and the dictatorship of the proje never made quite clear before when question was discussed. We find the lowing statement by Comrade Zinov on page 123 of the report on the sess of the Enlarged Executive:

"The workers' government is the as the dictatorship of the proletarial is a pseudonym for Soviet Governmental Hear!). It is more suitable for the ording

According to our conception this wrong. The workers' government is the dictatorship of the proletariat (g so, from the German Delegation) it ison a watchword which we bring forward order to win over the workers and convince them that the proletarian ch must form a United Front in its struct against the bourgeoisie. Should watchword be sollowed or adopted by majority of the working class, and sho the latter take up the struggle for aim in good earnest, it will soon been evident that the attempt to bring a this workers government (at least in m tion) will lead either directly to the tatorship of the proletariat or to a longed phase of very acute class str gles, namely, to civil war in all its for

In that respect we consider the slope of the workers government as necess and useful to winning over the mas It will lead to a sharper class con from which the Proletarian Dictators

will finally arise.

In conclusion, I wish to say that German Party however muitful it have been, has been hampered by lack of understanding of our pro shown by our brother parties. In ou cussion of the workers government the United Front we have found out of agitation hampered by the remain the Party Press and of the French We may say here that there are no tions today which may be solved national basis. All problems are dif dependent for their solution upon action and the propoganda of our ther parties. The consciousness of International effect of any party's at

riat. To day Comrade Zinoviev mader national discipline does not consist only who has been accused of opportunismactivity upon the other parties. Some time ago we attempted to reach an agreeattempts were crowned with success. Our French comrades have helped us in the solution of this question, but we must say that the crisis of the French Party has greatly hindrered the execution of our agreements at Cologne. We urgently insist here for the solution of the French question not only in the interest of our french comrades, but in our own as well. It seems to us that the Third Congress did not take up this question seriously enough, and that the International had too long delaed its solution. But it would be a mistake if after recognising countries with a big proletarian popp our errors, we did not limit our disciplipary measures, after a final solution of the principles, to a minimum.

The German Delegation in conclusion wishes to state that it believed in the right policy to hold the Party Conferences before the International Congress. For instance it does not make a good impression for the French Party to solve its questions at its Conference before the World Congress, while the German Party in which no crisis exists has not been able to take more advantage of the situation created by the union of the Socialist Party of Germany and the Independents. We acknowledge that in many cases it might be better to hold the National Party Conferences after the World Congress. However, this is not true as a general rule, and they should take place in each special case after consultation with the Executive.

Varga. (Hungary).

Comrades, I only wish to speak on a single point on Comrade Zinoviev's report, the last point in which he in kindly tashion accused one of our comrades of

be insisted upon. True Inter- opportunistic tendencies. This comrade distinction between a workers' gove in the union of all members through a ment and proletarian dictatorship. This ment and proletarian dictatorship. This central bureau, or in the execution of the resolutions adopted at our International sunderstanding. I have never wished to Congresses; international discipline and say that the working class of Europe congressional solidarity demand that should restrain their activity until such every party understand its brother time as the situation of the Russian proparties and realise the effects of its own, letariat will have improved, I have only pointed out how far the possibilities for final success, that is for the crection of ment with our Erench comrades especinew proletarian dictatorships are dimially on the question of he Versailles nished by the unjust conviction of the working man, and we will therefore use the treaty. The Conference of Cologne was European worker that the Russian worker called primarily for that purpose. Our ker is still living very badly at present. and also by the deterrent effect of the famine. You will say comrades that this is a private matter and should not de brought up at the Congress, but the fact that Comrade Zinoviev thought it necessary to give the matter special attention before this Congress shows that this is not a purely personal matter, and that Zinoviev attributes special importance to it. Otherwise, he would surely not have brought it up. This is why I believe it necessary to give more serious attention to the matter.

Comrade Zinoviev says that the working class of every country is driven to revolution because it can see no other way out of its present misery. What are the facts? The working class of all the capitalistic countries is suffering greatly in this period of the decay of capitalism. The workers are searching for a way out of this situation. There is a conscious revolutionary group, the Communist Party, which point the way. This group says that the way leads through the dictatorship of the proletariat to Socialism. We say: this way means suffering, struggle, hunger. We need not hide this fact. Perhaps you will allow me who am accused of opportunism to point out that, without any knowledge of the Russian conditions and purely on the experience of the Hungarian dictatorship and the theoretical analysis of economic exchanges which are unavoidable in the transition from capitalism to socialism I wrote in my pamphlet "The Economic Problem of the Proletarian Dictatorship," that that section of the proletariat which will be the shock-groups in the fight, the industrial proletariat, will see its standard of living fall most.

working class who are not yet consciously revolutionary ask: How long will that period of suffering and of hunger last? stances, some of which were unavoidably say that in three or six months' which resulted directly from the isolation than the German. To-day he is alread of the Russian dictatorship, and finally living better than the Austrian we to accidental circumstances, such as must destroy the idea that dictators the drought of 1920 and 1921, the famine proke out in Russia, and the Russian proictariat was forced to appeal to the help of the proletariat of the capitalist countries. This campaign has had its good sides. But those comrades who live outside Russia must admit that this famine campaign has awakened a great fear of the revolution and the dictatorship in the masses of the workers. It is quite certain when the Mensheviki repeat a thousand times: "You say that a revolution will save us, well, here is the example of Russia where the dictatorship has existed for five years, and the workers must appeal to our help. Make your revolutions, Gerfor ten years you will have to demand, any resistance. This will never have to beg help from the proletariat of those again. The bourgeoisie has seen that countries which have remained capitalistic",-it will have an effect on the we must dispel this idea from the minds dition of the Russian worker is very bad. sands of workers on parade, in their homes, in the streets, and I must say that they are better nourished than the workers in Berlin. They are perhaps worse

Yes, comrades, the great mass of the during the last nine months while the standard of the Worker of Europe has a contract, this question is closely become at the Friesland group. This has realising class who are not yet consciously of the worker of Europe has a contract. of the worker of Europe has grown would up with that of the United Front as se. In the case of Germany, the fall has action, which, in my opinion, the standard of living has been conducted action, which, in my opinion, strophic. This is what we must consider the union of the idea. To this question the Menshiviki answer: strophic. This is what we must be not an extension of the idea strophic. This is what we must be not an extension of the idea saying to the unaffiliated masses of Got the United many in hundreds of articles. We may be have to intervene into the daily say that in three or six months. men we have to intervene into the daily onnected with the dictatorship, others of the Russian worker will be living be progress of the proletariat, so must we, which resulted directly from the isolation, take part in the agrarian question, take part in daily struggle of that class which something to gain by a revolution. is synenymous with hunger. I believe is means that I hold it absolutely neis important because the future of essert for the success of the revolution the countries outside of Russia that world revolution depends upon it. araw the masses into our party, or Comrades, yesterday, I used a phra

in the German Delegation which, perhat least that we get them to adopt a was not quite true; I said that the base and not light against gegiste will not less true? geoisie will not let itself be taken surprise as it did not let itself be taken surprise as itself be taken surprise as its did not let itself be taken surprise as its did not let itself be taken surprise as its did not let itself be taken surprise as its did not let itself be taken surprise as its did not let itself be taken surprise as its did not let itself be taken surprise as its did not let itself be taken surprise as its did not let itself be taken surprise as its did not let itself be taken surprise as its did not let itself be taken surprise as its did not let itself be taken surprise as its did not let itself be taken surprise as its did not let itself What I have said is not opportunism. surprise as it did partly in Russia t culy the recognition of the true facts totally in Hungary. I mean to say the situation in the West European the Russian bourgeoisie did not res with all its might because it believe phies. So long as we meet with the ection from the non-Communist masses that the Bolshevik régime, the prole at dictatorship means hunger and again rian dictatorship, would be overthro oger, we will not be able to carry in a short time. The bourgeoisie of Ha masses with us. It is therefore one gary had absolutely no conception the important tasks of the coming what dictatorship of the proleur to put an end to this legend of the man, Italian and French Workers, and riat meant and was overthrown with a region of the Russian worker.

Ruth Fischer. power of the Russian dictatorship (Germany). maintained itself for five years; it hamades: The report by Comrade Zinoproletarian masses. I say, comrades, that understood that no counter revolutions furnishes abundant material for dispossible in Russia in any near futuration, but I only wish to touch upon of the workers imprinted by the Menshiviki and by the famine relief that the conarmed its troops. The bourgeoisie obsess. The first question is what was We must combat it because it is not ves carefully every movement of the Cole effect of the III Congress upon the true. I have observed hundreds of thou- munist Parties. In my opinion no same Party? Here it must be frankly prise action is possible to-day. What dated that there are by no means a few this signify? It means that we cannot think in a questions affecting the German storm the stronghold of the bourgettry in lar less rosy colours than it kers in Berlin. They are perhaps worse dressed, but they look much more healthy. Comrades, if you have observed the feeling of the masses, 90% of whom are not affiliated to any party, I would ask you. Did you ever see on the streets of Europe a proletariat who looked happier and more actionical. I will not say that Europe a proletariat who looked happier and more satisfied? I will not say that all Russian workers are so satisfied; I have been away from Russia for a long time. But this remains a fact that the worker of Moscow is well fed and happy. It is another fact that the situation of the Russian worker has improved etailly and more satisfied? I will not say that all Russian workers are so satisfied; I have been away from Russia for a long time. But this remains a fact that the worker of Moscow is well fed and happy. It is another fact that the situation of the Russian worker has improved etailly as of the unhappy grouping at the Russian worker has improved etailly as of the unhappy grouping at the Russian worker has improved etails.

sulted in the waste or precious months. I raise this question here not for the purpose of opening old wounds, but in order to declare that the question of the Levi group was not merely out of discipline. This mistake ought to be a lesson to us in the solving of many other questions. Every question of discipline is a political question. Now let me touch upon the second question, the application of it. Here again one must clearly state what is to be un-derstood by the tactics of the united front, because it is subject to various interpretations. The tactics of the United Front has had a chequered careeral-o in Germany. This development started with the Oper Letter and has culminated in the Factory Committee Movement. It ought to be clearly understood that our work has to be based on the amelioration of conditions for the workers. But, comrades, the basis of those partial demands which Radek has characterised as "a crust of bread" would be an ill-litting definition. It ought further to be said that it is not enough to agitate for these demands, but also the proper basis should be found, by establishing organised foundations within the masses themselves. which alone could render it possible to take up the fight for these demands.

Comrades: the much debated question of dealing with the leaders is after all a question of expediency and tactics. The mistake begins when one puts the centre of gravity on the dealings with the leaders. What is really at the back of this attitude in regard to the dealings with the leaders? It is a very dangerous illusion, an illusion which in its consequences leads to a revision of communism and of the revolution, and this in the following manner. The severe defeats, the terrible blows, the bloody experiences which we have gone through have brought about a state of mind among the German working class which makes them believe that the sole responsibility for the retreat the lade perdent Socialist Party; it was comrades with such refreshing frank by means of this conception of the Uniof trent that all district conferences Front, and behind it lurk deeply cono the independent Socialist Party worhas were urged to merge themselves into do tierman Social Democratic Party, It was often proclaimed to be the realisation of the United Proletarian Front.

Between our conception and that of the Mensheviks there is a shade of opinica which seems to have a vaguely conceived conviction that the only way to fight effectively against the counter-revolution is to have the concurrence of the great Social Democratic parties and the general Alliance of German Trade Unions. Thus it is no longer question of dealing with the leaders, or working jointly with them, but a notion that the Communist Party is altogetner weak in the fight without coalition with the Social Democrats. This is a dangerous notion (Interruption). I will soon come to that (merriment). Of course the German delegates are excluded. This idea of the indispensability of coalition with the Democrats and the trade union leadership has again been refuted by the arguments in Comrade Zinoviev's at the risk of, being called ... Ment the factory committee movement which speech, where he demonstrated that the second International and its affiliated parties are our worst enemies. It has also been repudiated by actual facts showing that these Parties everywhere have joined in the bitterest offensive against us,

But you may ask-Who is there entertainining any such notion? To this I would answer without mincing words. It is in the heads of some German communist workers that had been bruised in struggle with capitalism and the bourgeoisie. If one likes to deceive and delude oneself. ler him do so. But the practical experience of the work in the factories and trade unions has shown that we as a mass party are not free from the illusions held by the masses in whose midst we are living. These illusions react upon the party, leaving their definite imprints.

I would like to emphasise in this connection that the idea of the possibility of coalition with the Social Democrats has been repudiated by the entire course of the recent revolutionary events. For it tends towards amalgamation with the

lution, for a trimming of the revolin "Western" style, for the cream democratic transition stages between present and the future.

The attempt to delude oneself the difficult of the civil war is tank nut to the a ttempt of overthe ing capitalism without any ons difficulties and in pa alliance with the Social h crats. Comrade Zinoviev has already en

sised the fact that the situation is a di one. It is difficult in Germany and no could reasonably expect the victor Europe the day after tomorrow. have a very shrewd and dangerousa sary to contend with-one should International must beware of the dathe Levi crisis. of revisionism and take the most Now, comrades, I would like to say a ous measures in its daily practice w words in conclusion on the question hunters through a misrepresentations the positive side of these mistakes. the purposes of our criticism we let I would like to declare with the same events confirmed our views.

perly appreciated abroad. This the force of the Communists at dantion of the tactics of the German four moments.

We told the masses then: "The factory committee movement should be a few told the masses than the factory committee movement should be a few told the masses than the factory committee movement should be a few told the masses than the factory committee movement should be a few told the masses than the factory committee movement should be a few told the masses than the factory committee movement should be a few told the few told the factory committee movement should be a few told the fe

ty, who were playing a cunning game. exercised moderation instead of king aggressive demands. We did not stead of speaking out in a frank revotionary manner at the time when the asses whom we are out to win are cited. This mistake of the Rathenau monaign could not be obliterated by the rman Party even if it made the attempt do so. This mistake produced a deep pression upon the comrades in our overrate the weakness of the German Party, who are very mistrustful. cial Democracy. For this reason the more mistrustful than is believed man Party as well as the Commisse as a result of the experiences of

declare quite clearly that the dan ankness that the virtues of the factory not yet great in the German Part mmittee movement are not to be ascriit can be easily obviated. But duried to our credit, but rather to the Socrisis of the Levi Group we wer at Democrats' guilt, because the latter considered as gloomy pessimists, we us out and thus made it impossible continue any of the tactics of the Comrades, in conclusion I wish tathenan Campain. I venture to say, comview the tactics of the United Front des, that when the movement in Gerthe point of view of practical applicant will have become more pronounced. First of all I wish to say that the Social Democrats will try the same way Strike has been a movement that they played in the Rathescope, which perhaps has not best Campaign, because they have discoperly appreciated abroad. This is red that it is a good expedient to crip-

day is in danger, the right of the means be overestimated. I think is in danger." We threw ourselve at its real significance cannot be fully

the tell front that had come to the mour for unity and for the ancity of by 5. Social Democratic Parties, which que, the masses were excited, the has been discussed by our Norm alfaet, were calling for us, but we were of recruiting measurements for the anetity of the big Social-Democratic Party I tend to the big comrades with such refreshing frank. See ret negotiations which Social Democratic Party they had to edictions weeks and were of a diplo- contend again tour party, they had to atic repletation of German Trade Unit content against our party, they had to atic natural reductation of German Trade Uniand the German Social Democratic and write articles against our singanger and the German Social Democratic and write articles against us In a word. they had to be on the defensive and were dy, interactly prolonged negotiations in not able to celebrate their Party Conde to keep us tied. In those negotiations gresses with the pompou effect they wished.

Secondly, it has placed again on the expose ourselves either in the order of the day the question of the initior at meetings. We were forced to ative of the Industrial Organisations deration, and there is no greater mis- and of the factory committees, after a than to play the role of diplomats lapse of two years. Any one who has an idea of the sad state of the industrial councils in Germany ought to appreciate this succes.

> Thirdly, and this is the most important, the question of the workers control over production is being debated again although still weakly and somewhat clumsily It is now being debated in the factories not only by communists who are also greatly in need of such discussion having forgotton much during these two years, but also by Social Democratic workers. compelling the "Vorwarts" ... to carry on controversy against it.

The Communist International and the German Party ought to give this movement their continued attention, regarding it as the forerunner of the militant . United Front, thus ensuring the success of this proper form of applying the tactics of the United Front In this movement we have too often addressed the aristocratic unions expecting thereby to win the trade unions to our side, and we proposed to the Universal Federation of German Trade Unions to form a bloc for the practical solution of labour questions, but we soon discovered that that august body wished neither to have a congress convened nor to engage in any struggle.

The Factory Committee movement should demonstrate to all the traternal parties that the tactics of the United Front, when properly understood, contain the mass movement, but we adverged as vet. To my mind it posprogramme contradictory to our very lateral significance cannot be fully the mass movement, but we adverged as vet. To my mind it posprogramme contradictory to our very lateral significance cannot be fully no danger whatever, and the thing needed is a clear definition of our thing needed is a clear definition of our aims. At the same time the Communist Rathenau campaign. It must be stated that in the Rathenau Campaign and Independent classical behaviorable and question of revisionism which we find in the opposition which never put for the different parties under various guises. to the matter which is stated in my mandate from the Berlin organisation; We wish the Fourth Congress to see to t that the Communist International be freed of all opportunists.'

Tremendous cheering from the German side).

Neurath (Czecho-Slovakia).

Comrades, first of all I wish to say that a statement will be presented on behalf of the delegation as a whole on the report of Comrade Zinoviev. And so I will only give you a few facts in reply to Comrade Vajthauer's statement.

Comrades, some delegates might gather from the statement made by comrade Vajthauer that there is a left opposition in the Czecho-Slovak Party, which he represents. Comrades, this is not so. The left opposition of the Czecho-Slovak Party carried on a struggle against the opportunism represented by Comrade Smeral previous to the Unity Congress. After this Congress, Comrade Smeral adopted the decisions of the Third World Congress which made collaboration between the opposition and Comrade Smeral possible, The so-called new opposition, which has been in existence since about December 1921, for eight months failed to formulate any fundamental principles different from those underlying our tactics. It is only a few weeks ago that the opposition formulated something like a program, and I will put before you some of its provisions. But first of all I must draw your attention to the fact that immediately after the Unity Congress, we had to go ionaries, which they also failed to through a number of very serious struggles in Czecho-Slovakia, and that the those who tried to work with Executive of the Party led these struggles abandoned their principles and in such a way as to bring the greatest Smeralists, Such were the positive influence to bear upon large sections of the working masses. I wish to remind you, for instance, of the struggle of the civil servants, of the clerks, the metal clear to the opposition that this workers, the miners and the glass wer- could not be carried on any long kers. During all these struggles, the ma- told the opposition to let us know jority, known as the Smeralists, formu- it wanted, and to formulate their lated principles to which the communist gram and their principles. We all trade union officials were bound. These them that, if they had no princip principles have been recognised and have did not know in what way they been applied with success. They were not from us, they should say so one

n a certain sense, opens up also the attacked, not opposed by the members any principles of their own. Alter came successfully out of these structure and after formulating (especially the miners' struggle) the watch which impressed the working masse was brought to our notice that so the communist trade union leaders done very foolish things. It is only tural that communist trade union aders should occasionally do things. However, when these, our sentatives, expressed themselves the negotiations with the employed connection with the miners' strike way with which we did not agree reprintanded them severely, pointed to them their mistakes and explain them how to remedy them. At the the opposition did not take note this matter. It ignored these mis which we recognised at once.

This happened during our big gles. We carried on a United Front cretary of the Party, Comrade on negotiations with the counter-ref Moreover, the opposition asserted the tents of the program brought by the opposition.

At the Easter Conference we I

or all. At this conference we formulated the principles of the United Front. We prought forward the theses on our Party work and arrived at as important deisions as any communist conference can arrive at.

All the time the opposition remained clert, and gave not the least sign that it disagreed with us. It left us entirely in the dark as to its attitude towards the United Front, and the other tasks of our Party. We were therefore of the poinion that, owing to the fact that the pposition remained silent at the confesence when it had every opportunity to regulate its opinion, it had been brought ever to our way of thinking. The same game went on after the conference. am sorry to have to deal with such natters, for they are not very edifying, Well, the old game went on-no prinples, no program, no ideas to speak of. recept the silly argument that comrade meral wants to make use of old Sture in order to become a cabinet minister. tion by means of a series of meg Thereupon, Comrade Jilek went to Moscow, The opposition seemed to agree with the was sent everywhere as a reprefor it never proposed anything diff entative of the Czecho-Slovak Party. but remained silent. When the new in Moscow the most experienced comades studied the material put before tocky, was appointed, he declared them by Comrade Jilek. The latter was the opposition, while not declaric, sked by them to state his program. He principles, was fighting against a poke here, and so did Smeral. The was showing its disagreements wit result of this was that the Executive The opposition explained their at acognised that there was no fundamental by asserting that Smeral was an a political differences in the Czecho-Slovak tunist (for which they could not profety, but only some organisational any proof), that he was siming at shortcomings which had to be remedied. ming a Cabinet Minister and that he took this into consideration and hind the back of the Party, was car duitted the existence of such shortcomegs. We did not say that we could not renedy these shortcomings, because our me at all the Sessions of the Managing mmittee was occupied with the absurd ttacks of the opposition. When, in diseow, Comrade Jilek agreed with this esolution, he admitted that there were political differences in Czecho-Slovakia. Thereupon he returned to Czecho-Slovakia. and only then began to organise the Prosition, to give it a more definite form ld to make the differences more acute dian before.

Well, comrades, what was to be done? mrade Radek published an article and Executive made known its decision. teh declared that there were many

organisational shortcomings in Czecho Slovakia. We discussed this matter, at said that the shortcomings would be remedied, that there were no political differences, and that, henceforth, the Party could begin to work for the accomplishment of the great tasks confronting it. However, nothing came of it. Unfortunately, we could devote only very little time to the great tasks of the Czecho-Slovak Party and we could not turn our attention to the great problems of the movement, because we had still the same petty matters to deal with. We had still to spend seven hours at a stretch during the meetings of the Executive Committee in order to explain to Comrade Sture that Smeral had no intention of becoming a Cabinet Minister.

Then we had a meeting at which representatives of the International took part. At this meeting it was established that the opposition had brought forward nothing which would lead anyone to believe that there were theoretical differences. Comrades, I do'nt wan't to take up too much of your time, and will be as brief as possible. Just before the above mentioned meeting an article appeared in the "Communista", signed by a member of the opposition. This article consisting of 220 lines, contained 180 lines from Comrade Trotzky's book -The New Phase". The opposition derived its principles from these lines (Comrade Bukharin, In inverted commas?") No, comrades, not in inverted commas.

What happened then? The opposition continued the struggle. Therefore, there was nothing else to do but to call another conference, the National Conference. The comrades were all tired out; we could endure it no longer. We had determined, on the journey between Reichenberg and Prague, on certain important resolutions with regard to the miners and metal workers' struggles, but in the session we found that we could not deal with them, Comrade Zapotocky and all the other comrades declared that things could no longer continue in this manner that would it be impossible to call one national conference after the other; and that if this opposition were not liquidated we could no longer treat with its representative ves. Then came the National Conference, at which the representatives of the Comat-

and mental program was presented to of this policy. The lear of utterruin will jo I will not trouble you with the de- these small traders to join together this program, it deals at great others in common productive enterpris ngth with the existing economic crises nd the relations of the Communist party hereto, in the chapter on the aims of the party the following appears:

The worker is doubly exploited, firstly y the employer and secondly by the niddleman. The high cost of living, caused by the provision merchants, is a far greater burden upon him than his capi-

ralist exploitation.

Among the small and large retailers re found, if not the greatest, at least the most active class enemies of the workers. The commercial class are the more energetic advocates of the capitalist system, and also the most strenuous fighters for it.

The two most important weapons of which the working class may and must

avail itself are:

1—The boycott of capitalistic products. 2-The expansion and centralization of all productive and retail cooperatives.

With regard to the boycott, Comrade

Vaitauer said the following.

With regard to the use of the boycott, the present situation is most advantageous. The bourgeoisie does not hesitate to make full use of the dependence upon it of the working class; neither should the working class hesitate to take advantage of the dependence of the bourgeoise upon the toilers. The capitalists throw thousands of workers ut upon the streets in order to use this unemployed reserve as a means of lowering wages. The workers, by means of the boycott, can ruin any firm which charges high prices for necessities or which opposes the working class unmercifully. The working class must not scorn this method, as, by neglecting this terrifying weapon, they merely accelerate the concentration of capital and themselves are left behind. There should be a list, firstly of all boycotted firms who charge unusually high prices for their products; and, secondly, of those firms that are known for their inimical attitude towards the working class. It is true that through this pressure upon prices many small businesses will be unjustly ruined, as it is impossible for them to secure their raw materials at cheap rates. This howe-

three separat were present. Finally a ver, should not stop us in the applicaand then to enter into the working of productive cooperatives, where, through operative buying of raw material cheap prices, they will be able to me the demands of the working class

This embodies the entire program the opposition which, until the Nation Conference, was designated by the R cutive Committee as the Left.

Comrades, I believe, that we have he the opposition has to offer us upon

tell us in what particular respect we had failed in our duty and, secondly, does the Opposition understand by work class rule. Comrades, the first quest has not yet been answered, but the position can tell us what they underst by the program of workers' control. I quote you the following sentence as example:

"Firstly the workers' government must accomplish the following:creation of a period of culminat in which the concentration of duction and distribution takes form of collective captialism. (La ter)."

Comrades, I have never w stood this sentence and I believe that I shall never stand it.

"2. The transformation of " rism into a militia system."

"3. The securing of an under ding with Communist Russia.

The problem of the working rule must be solved by the Ca Slovakian Communist Party in est conjunction with the other tions of the Communist Interns al in all capitalist col in complete and strict accord the Communist International. as the actual methods of atta lieve that such discussions are of a nurely abstract nature.

That means that it is not abstract but that it is concrete (Laughter).

These are all actual facts. We only heard for the first time of a portion of this program at the National Conference. It the National Conference, the representatives of the Third International took the floor and explained to the represition that they had not a leg to enough of this stupid game. I have stand on and had absolutely no chance, sufficiently for you to understand w nolitically, or otherwise, against the majority. Before the National Conference we important problem of workers' cont should have been able to have exerted It is this opposition which claims that an unusually strong influence upon the of the Executive have failed to do masses with our propaganda of workers'e duty and that our action should h control and the United Front. Those are the been altogether contrary to what it masses, which we could have influenced. Firstly, we would like to have the and who are now following the Czech Nationalists. 1 say "Czech Nationalists" lists because, for example, Comrade Ruth Fischer (Berlin) made an exchange of the Czech Nationalist for the German naadhere to the Czech Nationalist Party. and we previously had won such an influence over these workers that the to our headquarters in order to parley with us and to invite us to a conference with them, in order that we might together bring a common influence to bear upon the Government, as Comrade Vajtaur has already told you. But, does not Comrade Vajtaur know what we have answered the National Socialists? We said to the National Socialists. United Front? Yes, but with whom? with you? We don't need it with you. A United Front with you would be ludicrons. But a United Front with the workers who follow youwe are in favor of that. Do you stand for higher wages for the workers? Are you in favor of an offensive against the bourgeoisie? If so, we are with yon. We are ready to struggle side by side with you. The only conditions that the fundamental points which we have prepared to govern this struggle, must be recognized. It was then that Congrade Vajtaur formulated the points which he delivered here today. luto this serious situation, which in no country could have been as favorable. the working class rule are continued there suddenly appears the opposition

we refuse to discuss them and be and deel result the executive many abide by a hile series of car decay if they will not place a whole newspaper at our disposal, then we shall distribute a leaflet to all organizations in which are exposed the crime of the executive. That was 48 hours before the National Conference, We were not able to prevent this. The leaflet was releas a.

In this leaflet were such serions charges against us that, if they were true, sentatives and supporters of the Oppo- we could not be now where we stand, We should have no place within the Third International. They were the bitterest and worst accusations which one can possibly imagine. We went into the National Conference and said: - Now show us what is true in these charges. An the comrades had not a word to say except that they were distrustful of Comrades Smeral. In this conference the motion was made to expel the Opposition The overwhelming majority of members of the Czecho-Slovakian Communist Party only took this extreme step after we had been compelled to waste our time for tionalist. Great masses of the workers eight or ten months, with an opposition which had never expressed a single thing worth while or shown any desire to work together with us, and which had leaders of the party were forced to come also never given any proof that they could manage better than we could. After we had bothered with them for ten monthand had laid aside many important problems because we were compelled to do so by their importunities, in the interests of the party and its growth, we were forced at last to take a stand upon the grounds of discipline and to demand from the Opposition that they withdraw the statements made in their leaflet. This the Opposition refused to do and when the representatives of the Third International pleaded with them, the Opposition repeated its refusal.

And, finally, take note that the idea is untrue which some badly informed comrades seem to possess, that only an inconsiderable number of the members of the Czecho-Slovakian party stand upon the basis of this Opposition. We shall give proof of this during the sitting of the commission. I tell you that it is only one particular clique-and not a large one at that-which takes the Opposition's standpoint. That is the Brossnitz clique, which is one of twenty-four. The decision of the

and a control of the decision. the die and have contra We have contermed to it, corp call we are conspeed that the and a market congress will decide to s sups so that we in Capho-Sloand to a remitted to work and to That this Congress, by a majov. should accept a program which is

to be a prolitarian organization, is rather singled to a veteran society, - lightlievable

We shall permit this kind of opposiand longer, it shall no longer be allowed et furdamental party power shall be tain tasks to fulfill shall let itself be Indeped to so a frivilous a manner in the performance of its duties, (Lively

1918 14 M. Colarov.-Before passing on to the pshiror of Contrade Neurath's speech. are several announcements to be

de to th Congress

fue got a Delesia - d represented on the Agre Comand has appointed Commits Oversta Carried.

The South American De egang to be represented by Comrade v . Argentine in the French Commission Comrade Pientos in the Spanish Comsion, and by Comrade Stirner o Wy in the North American Del gar . there any opposition?. Carried

The Presidium moves that com-Rakevksy be included into the Cron Commission Any opposition?.. Care.

The following commissions will a

The French Commission at 6 ne this Hall.

The Czecho-Slovak Commission at p. m. in one of the Kremlin Halls.

The Negro Commission in hair nine in the Grand Paris Hotel

The next Session of the Congress w held at 11 a.m. sharp to me :: w.

The Session adjourned at 4 , pre-

. . . Lythe Press Ellena c to Fourth Congress the Commerce Monce of In spe 6, 64 '4 " hely. ... The thanky The de Profetarian".

## BULLETIN

### THE IV CONGRESS OF THE COMMUNIST INTE

MOSCOW.

13th November 1923

### Fourth Session.

Senday litt Vive or or rung

Contents.

Chairman K. mor

sousse with research to the Spiniers Bute, This Dre to . I . 500 1000 1 1 1.

v -1 declare this session (per, the man posts of the a

er Germany; The mem can; tily praised in the report fix eve. Hewever, commad's, we is very happy over it. We see c will that being considered one e boys of the large family of t : wist international means that nd foolish action shall be all the more severely. There are it mason we would like to emplases · "tcomings here

corving out the United Proof fact is " "tay, we must take into account places which imp de us in this With us these tendencies are ... tray and France, graided or of avowed opposition to the I the United Front As I as to said, we have only tordeadles. it will be of a teres, to this s, which are about to put the o United Front into practice. e real pature of these ton-227735 9 065 13 9358 238 Pail 8

neis wrich and not ariso en o tro sacs mer of boar makers

of up in Contrade Becker to a livess and story with the second STREET OF BUILDINGS 2 m. 12 m. 12 m. 17 of the many of the contract of Aller a value of the second retariat is a succession acion 28

Paring the less of the terms . Other Blows of the Same the red In the see the line still the toris or with the still the stickes to be seen a strike wallsing the policy and at they do is the steer on the TOURSE BUSINESS OF THE PARTY OF Compares, whis appears to the The state of the s A which she by 50 ch 2500 2 15 15 16 2 our leading remarks, it is to be or seen, willy 1,500 100 100 dency, those taking allow, as a lie 1. Com 2 . Com 19 . C The transfer of the state of th Let Not your law . me the THE MENT OF THE WAY OF THE PERMANENT OF