WORKERS OF THE WORLD, UNITE!

executive et the Communist International es overthrown and destroyed the authomy of the party executive. Despite this, w. have not merely accepted the decision, out have published it, and have confor-med to it. We have conformed to it, comrades, becaus we are convinced that the majority in this congress will decide to take such steps so that we in Czecho-Slovakia may be permitted to work and to aght on. That this Congress, by a majoity, should accept a program which is not fit for a proletarian organization, but is rather suited to a veteran society,

is unbelievable. We shall permit this kind of oppositon no longer. It shall no longer be allowed that fundamental party power shall be proken and that this Party which has certain tasks to fulfill shall let itself be hindered in so a frivilous a manner in the performance of its duties. (Lively

applause). Kolarov.-Before passing on to the translation of Comrade Neurath's speech, there are several announcements to be made to the Congress.

The Belgian Delegation wishes to represented on the Negro Commission represented Commission to Commission and Commission of the Negro C represented contrade Overstrand and has appointed Contrade Overstrand and has appropriate to act in this capacity, Any opposition Carried.

The South American Delegation Risk to be represented by Comrade Penel Argentine in the French Commission Comrade Pientos in the Spanish Com sion, and by Comrade Stirner of Mexin the North American Delegation there any opposition?.. Carried

The Presidium moves that Compa Rakovksy be included into the Francisco Commission. Any opposition?.. Carrie The following commissions will me

to-night:

The French Commission at 6 p.m this Hall.

The Czecho-Slovak Commission at a p. m. in one of the Kremlin Halls.

The Negro Commission at half par nine in the Grand Paris Hotel.

The next Session of the Congress will held at 11 a.m. sharp to morrow.

The Session adjourned at 41, p.m

BULLETIN

CONGRESS OF THE COMMUNIST

Moscow.

13th November 1922.

Fourth Session.

Sunday 11th November (morning).

Contents.

Chairman: Kolarov.

Discussion on Report of Comrade Zinoviev (continued). Speakers: Becker, Radek, Duret, Bordiga, Graziadei.

Session opened 11.45 a.m.

Kolarov.-I declare the Session open, communists to remain the active factor, and call upon Comrade Becker to address and holding aloft the banner of the class

Becker-Germany: The German Party when the proletariat is indifferent and highly praised in the report of the inactive, give way to pessimist. A section executive. Hewever, comrades, we do of these masses has become stack and not feel very happy over it. We are of the opinion that being considered one of the good boys of the large family of the even using the daily needs of the pro-Communist International means - that our next foolish action shall be punished all the more severely. Therefore for that reason we would like to emphasise of our members has partly got over our shortcomings here.

in Germany, we must take into account two tendencies which impede us in this work. With us these tendencies are not, as in Italy and France, guided by a theory of avowed opposition to the tactic of the United Front. As I have already said, we have only tendencies. think it will be of interest to those tactic of the United Front into practice, to know the real nature of these tendem in their work.

at a large number of party members,

struggle even in the difficult situation indifferent, reveal no initiative and hass lost hope of anything coming out or letariat as a starting point for our agitation.

During the last few months a section this mood. Nevertheless, this mood is In carrying out the United Front tactics still the basis of these tendencies and affects the leaders of the Party. In analysing the political situation, these two tendencies draw correct deductions, but they do it in such a way as to encourage passivity and pessimism. Comrades, this applies to the left tendency as well as to the right. Moreover, a number of other factors are affecting countries, which are about to put the our leading comrades, and prevent some of them, mostly those of the left tendency, from taking advantage of the dencies, as they are sure to come across various opportunities that present there selves to carry out the tactic of the these tendencies which affect not United Front, and induce them to. by the leaders - arise out of the fact exaggerate the perils of opportuois They even induce them to put obstacles thead of performing their task as in the way of these treties. The right

Published bythe Press Bureau of the Fourth Congress of the Comintern. Moscow, _ th Government Printery "The Red Prolefarian". Number of copies printed: 75 COMMENIST INTERNATIONAL

tendency affects those comrades who for some reason or other are passive by nature, and are not therefore as energetic as they should be. Our experience in Germany has been that as soon as we begin to put the United Front tactics into practice, the social democrats at once follow our example. In this connection it has even happened that social democrats were the first in the field in demanding united action. Due to that passivity in some of our leading comrades it frequently happened that the social democrats forestalled us and took from us the lead in the policy of the

United Front. Comrades, during the United Front actions in connection with the Rathenau crisis, both tendencies showed signs of hesitancy and timidity. The comrades of the right tendency were very timid in their negotiations with the opposition leaders; they seemed to be afraid that the rapprochement with the latter would not last. This timidity even resulted in the Party not asserting itself as it should

have done: The left tendency, too, showed a great deal of vacillation. I should like to remind you of the Berlin incident. Comrade Fischer, who spoke yesterday, knows that at the time of the Rathenau crisis the Berlin Organisation distinguished itself by a "Silent Demonstration", a demonstration without any speakers. Whatever one may say, the fact cannot be proved that this was a sign of weakness you will experience in all the comand vacillation on the part of the left elements of the Party. In all joint demonstrations, we must see to it that we play the principal rôle. In answer to the statement made by Comrade Fischer yesterday that the German Party exaggerated the importance of the negotiations with the opposition leaders, I am obliged to say that I did not observe such a tendency in the Party. On the contrary, I spoke of the right passive tendency which was not only passive during the negotia-. In answer to such mechancial conceptions but a conscious the grant to such mechancial conceptions. tions, but even showed too great reserve n initiating the negotiations. I am of the opinion that we should have had such negotiations much more frequently, as they would have given us an opporonity for revealing the social democratic seaders in their true colours and induing the masses to repudiate them.

Comrade Fischer also said that party missed a good opportunity for party fills off the negotiations in connect aking off the negotiations in connect aking off the Rathenau crisis. She quoted also of the greatest importance for the with the example of the yacilla also of the greatest importance for the as another example of the vacillation the so-called left elements. At that we had no reason whatever to break the negotiations. On the contrary, it in our interest to throw on them the one breaking off negotiations, whenever refused to accept the demands mitted.

Comrade Fischer said ideas preval in the Party on the United Front were equivalent to amalgamation the social-democrats. I am ready to all that such tendencies still exist in minds of some of our comrades. But important part is to know if the Po as such is in favour of such tendend In this respect I must say that, far h encouraging such tendencies, the Pa is combating them. As in all former tions, and, probably, all future actions factory committee movement has had undesirable elements. Factory commit and trade union officials have sabota the policy of the Party for lear that tarcies would lead to the Amster gentry splitting the trade unions. Well got rid of all such elements. The stence of elements, with opportunist dencies in connection with the Un Front tactic, is by no means a proof the Party suports them.

There is also another phenomenon viz, the mechanical conception of the plication of the United Front tack happens that after an action, after great betrayal by the social democ leaders in one or other of the Front actions, very good comrades diately betray a desire to put an diate and to united action and ne tions with the social-democratic lead They declare that henceforth they work for the United Front from we have said: If you can rouse activity to be able to say in two no time that we need no longer neg with the social-democratic leaders be they have not the masses behind we shall not negotiate. We have these methods with great success paratory period such as the present—betfactory committee movement, and

at the same time organised the Front among the masses themselves.

Comrades, another question which is non-German comrades, is that of the Workers' Government. The question which led to wrong conceptions among our French comrades is of special importance. I mean, the question of supporting a socialist government and the question of the Workers' Government in the various States of Germany.

Comrades, to begin with, we have never considered the possibility of establishing a workers' government (either in the Reich or the States) as a result of parliamentary grouping but as the result of successful mobilisation of the masses for the everyday demands. We have not been too hasty in propagating these ideas, and in fact actually we have not propagated them because the situation was not ripe for it.

The chief difference between a socialist and a workers' government in a particular State consists in the fact that the workers' government in that State would have to act as the advance guard in the struggles of the entire German working

Radek (greeted with applause).

Comrades, when the Executive brought in their report, we all trembled with fear of the storms which would be roused by the opposition of the Right and Left wings against the position of the Executive. The Right wing, in so far as there is one, has not yet spoken. Comrade Varga has endeavored to prove, in his amiable manner, that he does not belong to the Right, and we take his word for it. Therefore I find myself compelled to deal with those points of view which are supposed to be held by the Left, although I believe that in the present condition of the international proletariat, the danger with which we are threatened comes not from the Left but from the Right. (Hear, hear!) The danger from the Right consists principally in this, that it is particularly difficult in a period when there are no popular revolts, to pursue a Communist political policy. During a crisis, every worker feels instinctively the necessity of revolutionary action, and the Party is then the leader rather than the driving force. In a pre-

ween two waves of revolution-Communist work must consist in a thorough intensive preparatory education of the Party: for, owing to the youth of the Communist Parties on the one hand and their socialdemocratic past on the other, it is by no means easy to connect the mass character of the party with its Communist character. If we take for example the situation in the French party and in the Norwegian party, the two most typical parties of the Right wing of the Communist International, we find that in the debates upon the condition of these two parties lies the severest labours of this congress. So that if I deal with the two comrades of the Left who have just spoken, it is not that I consider the danger of the drift towards the Left to be grave, but because no one has yet spoken for the Right,

I shall begin with Comrade Vajtauer, the representative of the Czecho-Slovakiar opposition. Comrade Vajtauer's speech was patterned largely upon that of Comrade Fischer. Comrade Fischer's speech dealt with the faults of the party. Comrade Fischer analysed these faults, correctly or incorrectly, but no one who heard her speak could possibly have obtained the impression that the speaker was not a member of the party. It was clear to all that the speaker belonged organically to the Party, and was not an outcast of the

German Communist Party.

But the speech of Comrade Vajtauer created quite a different impression. As Party members, we understand more or less what the Left or opposition of the Czecho-Slovakian party is, although it is difficult to understand it theoretically When Comrade Neurath tried to explain the theory, my friend, Comrade Bukharin. who by no means belongs to the Right. was in such a condition that we had to take him by the arm and lead him fainting from the hall. (Laughter) Yet it was the wrong political attitude to take this phenomenon frivolously and deal with it as a subject for ridicule. The Czecho-Slovakian Left is worthy of earnest consideration, not because prominent and experienced proletarian elements of the Party, such as Comrade Sturk, are part of it, but because it denotes a very definite danger. There are 600,000 unemployed in Czecho-Slovakia, and, when the

condency suddenly appears in the Party which produces the following slogan: We are faced immediately with a struggle or power' when such a tendency does oppear, the question is not whether they have tormulated their ideas clearly or becurely, but whether, in this critical situation of the party, a portion of the pembership is unsatisfied with the position of the party. They believe that the party does not fight with sufficient energy, und, even if this section is small today. yet with 600,000 unemployed there is always sufficient material for this oppostion nucleus to formulate a tactic which will drive the party into prenature struggle. On these grounds, I say, we must deal with these matters seriously. But I must add that the opposition has not made our work any 100 easy. When Comrade Vajtauer, whom we have only had the honour of knowing in the Communist and labour movement for the last two years, expresses the distrust of the proletarian Asheron, when he claims to speak in the name of the proletarian opposition (as the Czecho-Slovakian Left calls itself), and when he comes here after his glorious revolutionary activities, he declares that Smeral and the majority of the party Executive have entered into a conspiracy with the bourgeoisie and Masaryk, and that the Czceho-Slovakian bourgeoisie have demanded from the Communist Party the head of Comrade Vajtauer as a condition for the formation of a coalition. When Comrade Vajtauer says that in Kladno we have an untried leader like comrade Muna when as a matter of fact Muna performed his revolutionary duty when it was somewhat more difficult than when Vajtauer gladdened the Communist Interna- in the dreams of Comrade Vajtaner, tional with his activities; when Vajtauer in the name of the opposition says that under the leadership of Muna the party ustigated strike-breaking in Kladno-when he insinuates such things and then adds "Choose between me and these traitors" and adds, "if what I am now oing is anarchism then I say let us be narchists" we reply to him "A little noderation, Comrade Vajtauer!" And we also say: When you come here and say Yes, I am for discipline if you elect me", then we will certainly speak with this pposition but before parleying with them

we shall say to them: if you wish to have your proletarian opposition representation letarians—and not everyone has happiness to be born a proletarian for some responsibility for the fate of the proletarian party.

Now, comrades, to our subject! What has Comrade Vajtauer said? He interpreted Smeral's dream. In other words he said that Smeral would like be a cabinet minister. He has not said it definitely. He has used the method dictated by Vajtauer's anarchist past H did not say that on such a day Smert said so and so, from which I conclude that he wishes to be a cabinet minister He has interpreted the dream of Smeral This has its reason. Vajtauer used to occur himself with the scientific interpretation of dreams. Now he has introduced this method into the Communist Internations (Laughter) So that the question m arises: What shall the Executive of the Communist International do with the interpretations of dreams? They can on put them into a dream calendar.

The following facts are in possession of the Executive of the Communa International. Until March of the prese year the opposition had a majority the Executive. That is the first fact. I second fact is this last July a session the enlarged Executive took place in which we discussed the question of the Czecho-Slovakian party. After good deal of labour Comrade Jelik P posed a resolution: declaring that the are no differences in principle in Czecho-Slovakian party. That was in and in September the party was in dans Comrade Smeral selling himself; and comrades surrounding Zinoviev frivolous people because they would believe this dream. (Interruption: especially the Intenational.")

Vajtauer's terrifying threat to be this International for a special International tional is not a new threat. The representatives tives of the K. A. P. spoke here the III Congress, and I wish that could read the last article of Control of C Gorter. After the K. A. P. had as counter-revolutionary act, and he

that everything we do is towards the of good Comrade Smeral; he is a most Right and that the task of the Commu-exemplary youth". I don't know whether here, then at least have it represent his is to stand still and say: "Nothing by people who, even if they are not properly and not everyone not ever is of any use except the revolution." If much higher than many comrades comrade Vajtauer adds his voice to that of the Left. I am convinced of his good of Comrade Gorter, and they declare them- will to assist the aims of this congress, selves to be their own special International, we shall be able to face this ral such as many comrades express, destragedy with characteristic Communist pite the fact that I have sharply opposed add himself to the pair.

If one does not wish to take Comrade Vajtauer's speech humorously, one is compelled to speak to him so that he would not dare to speak a second time Communist parties. We cannot deal question at this phase of the debate. This matter will be examined with the greatest care in the Commission, and those warnings with regard to the state of the party which this comrade has made will be gone into with the utmost thoroughness; for we say frankly, when two honest proletarians raise their warning voices. we have no right, in the present period of the great danger coming from the noted when we received the first detailed Right - to pass it by in silence, or with news with regard to this development. a jest. The Executive must submit that the result of their previous work with the ing: - "In real mass action there should be Czecho-Slovakian party shows that, in no secrets in the masses, and under no the main, the policy of the Czecho-Slovakian party has been right; therefore we have said to those comrades of of which our comrades are not publicly the opposition who have raised the ban- informed in detail," when voices are rainer of rebellion: You have acted wrongly, but, as we do not wish to cast proletarians carelessly overboard, even if they put up senseless criticism, we will try once more to come to an understanding with you. Therefere we have suspended decision on the Czecho-Slovakian party. When Comrade Neurat hsays you have abolished and shattered the authority of the Executive I saythat if we have abolished it we cannot shatter it. If we had shattered it we could not abolish it. But the hope remains that this anthority will leave this hall stronger than ever, if after the work of the Commission it is shown that the Executive has done everything to make a good fighting party out of the Czecho-Slovakian Communist Party. No one can maintain that it is already such. Comrade Vajtauer said that Comrade Zinoviev has said: "Follow the example

and I have no trace of mistrust of Smecourage, even perhaps if a third were to him. But I know one thing, a model Communist Party does not exist in any country yet, indeed to train a model boy he must be systematically birched at the Congress not only on the grounds of the wisdem gained through the Russian Revoin such a manner in a congress of 52 lution but also on the ground of the experience gained in the revolutions in thoroughly with the Czecho-Slovakian the West. There is no model Communist Party which one can produce through our revolution. It can only be produced in the heat of civil war, and Czecho-Slovakia has not yet had this experience.

I now turn to the speech of Comrade Fischer. Comrade Fischer, in the course of her speech, mentioned numerous omissions in the Rathenau action, which we also here in Moscow, in the Executive. When voices are raised in the party saycircumstances should any understanding with the social-democrats be undertaken sed in the party, saying: "the Communist standpoint on every occasion, and no weeping over the hopes of Rathenau and crying of 'Republic, Republic, Republic!" if that is said we can only say that we wish that this were not merely the voice of the opposition but the sentiment of the entire party. (Hear, hear!)

Only one who is, so to speak, a sworn advocate of every party position can say that the German party has made no mistakes in the beginning of the Rathenau action. A couple of times, when the 'Rote Fahne" arrived here, Comrade Zinoviev said: 'Devil take them! What have they got to do with this republic? What have they to do with this Rathenau? Is there not a word of criticism of these things?" And that was our general feeling. Because of its fear of isolation, the party approached too closely to social-democracy

If the oppositions of Comrade Fischer and been confined only to this, then she would have been quite right, but her erticisms went much further. For instance, Courade Fischer declared among other things that she was not opposed in ornerple to negotiating with the leaders, or was she in favour of it, but these things ought to be done with discriminanon This is very well, but her tactics, per criticism of the Party after the action in the Central Committee consisted not only of these commonplaces. Her opposition mounted to one thing-You are too much concerned about your own virtue. (Quite so!)

Comrade Fischer said, the railway strike was a brilliant action carried out without the aid of the leaders; we went to the masses over and over again telling them the same things that they themselves were saying, all the time shouting "Masses, masses, masses!" No leaders participated in that strike. In the second action the leaders already took part, and aithough she is not opposed to it in principle, this was a source of evil. Interruption: "The Congress of the Facfory Councils.") I am coming to that.

Comrades, what did actually happen? When we get into the confounded position of having to negotiate with the leaders, the opposition with Comrade Ruth Fischer at their head waxed extraordinarily nervous. I have experienced it throughout the conferences of the three Executives. Every day that passed without our breaking up the negotiations with the others, was considered by her as lost; with the Rathenau crisis it was the same: every day the opposition demanded either ultimatum -or a break up! The reason of this is the purely mechanical conception of the comrades of the Left. Our tactics of the United Front cannot be reduced to a fixed scheme. On the whole we know one thing now: We are the weaker side. The greatest obstacle will be put our way to the masses; social-demoeracy seeks to isolate her workers from us. Whenever the pressure of the masses increases, the social-democrats have to with us. When conducting such regotiations it is not to our interest to we have compelled them draw the great masses of workers into de movement, or after it has become

clear to everybody that the social demoerats want no action. A premature break just to avoid the spending of another hour or half an hour in the company of these people, wold show that we consider ourselves weaker than we really are Had the Party Press taken a clear stand from the very outset, it would have repeated to the masses all the while "yes, we are dealing the social-democrate but if you will not take care the social democrats are certain to betray you" then we could calmly continue negotia. ting until the very moment when this betrayal should have been fully manifested. But instead of seeing to it that the party take a definite stand in the matter you have only been pulling it by the sleeve hindering it all along and you do not let the party negotiate. This is a nervous and strained attitude which can render no good service to the party Taking it all in all we enter into nego. tiation being aware that they will deceive us every time they can. To save our reputation we must tell the masses beforehand. But we must not break up unless we are in a position to do by ourselves what they refuse to do together with us. During our informal talks in the Executive regarding the Rathenau crisis I every time put this fundamental question Could the Party risk a single-handed fight against the monarchists? I think that if the Party had gone into action alone, the mistake would have been far greater than all the others that have been committed (Quite true!) For we have had the experience of the Kapp "putsch", which has shown that the social-democrati were only waiting for the opportunity to throw in their lot with the monarchists against us, and it was not a mistake but a merit that the Party prevented this.

At the same time we say to the Party Under such circumstances let not the idea settle forever in your minds that we are to remain weak for evermore. (Quittrue). We may as a matter of pact will the masses and grow strong at once II the very midst of such united action The tactical art in such a situation ist proceed with caution and to avoid pro mature breaches, but always to be ready for them and preparing the masses political agitation and by the conduct the entire matter.

that Comrade Zinoviev has already said what year in his thesis on the United are that the tactics of the united front prolived the gravest dangers. The dangers are as follows: We are living in a period f transition of a new wave of revolution. in the meantime however there is no present opportunity for revolutionary action, and a sort of twilight mood may asily creep in among the ranks of the Party; a sort of lonely feeling may urge some Communists to walk arm in arm with Scheidemann along Unter den Linand the Party Press may easify be drawn into the social democratic policy. Such a danger is present, and when any action s undertaken, you must bear in mind not so much the danger of walking alone lown the street as the graver danger that the Communist Party may disappear among the masses by its hob-nobbing with the social-demecrats.

With regard to the emphasis a very apt word in the question of a Workers' Government, I merely wish to of Comrade Fischer. She has spoken of the danger of rimming Communism after the western style. Of this danger I would like to say a word or two. When Comrade Zinoviev at the extended Executive session said that the workers' government was to us a pseudonym for Proletarian Dictatorship Mayer-I think that definition was not right and that it was due to the misgiring which has been characterised here by Comrade Fischer as trimming to the western style. To many Comrades the idea of a workers' government sounds like a sweet lullaby. They say: Dictaforship—the devil knows when that may come, at all events it is a difficult thing to carry on agitation under the banner of dictatorship; I will rather talk of workers" government, this sounds so weet and innocent.

Nobody knows what it means. May be something will come out of it. At any Tate it does not sound so dangerous.

This should be done away with by our method of agitation. A workers' governent is not the Proletarian Dictatorship, hat is clear, It is one of the possible tansitory stages to the proletarian ditatorship. The possibility of such a

Or the whole I must say - repeating transitory stage is due to the fact that the working masses in the West are not so amorphous politically as in the East. They are members of Parties and they stick to their parties. In the East, in Russia, it was easier to bring them into the fold of communism after the outbreak of the revolutionary storm. In your countries it is much more difficult. The German, Norwegian and Czecho-Slovakian workers will more readily declare against coalition with the bourgeoisie, preferring a coalition of labour parties which would guarantee the 8-hour day, and an extra den. In such a mood the Party leadership crust of bread, etc. A Workers' Party usually arises in this manner either through preliminary struggles or on the basis of a parliamentary combination, and it would turn aside the opportunities of such a situation in stubborn doctrinaire fashion.

Now, the question arises; shall we recline upon this soft cushion and take a good rest, or shall we rather lead the masses into the fight on the basis of their own illusions, for the realisation of the program of Workers' government? If we conceive the workers' government as a soft cushion, that is bound to government bankrupt and we ourselves politically beaten; we would then take our place beside the socialdemocrats as a new type of tricksters. On the other hand, if we keep alive the -so he was quoted here by Comrade consciousness of the masses that a workers' government is an empty shell unless it has workers behind it forging their weapons and forming their factory councils to compel it to hold on to the right track and make no compromise to the Right, making that government a starting point for the struggle for the proletarian dictatorship. Such a workers government will eventually make room for a Soviet government and not become a soft cushion, but rather a lever for the conquest of power by revolutionary means, I believe one of the comrades has said: The workers' government is not a historic necessity, but a historical possibility. This is, to my mind a correct formula. It would be absolutely wrong to assert that the development of man from the ape to People's Commissary must necessarily pass through the phase of a workers' government (langhter). Such a variant in history is possible, and

in the tist place it is possible in a numto a countries having a strong proletathat and peasant movement, or where the working class overwhelmingly outnumber the bourgeoisie, as is the case in Figland. A parliamentary labour victory a Purland is quite possible. It will not tak plac in the present elections, but it is possible in the future, and then the mustion will arise; What is the Labour government? It is no more than a new dition of the bourgeois-liberal government, or can we compel it to be some. thing more? I believe Austen Chamber-Jam was right in saying: "If a Labour government comes, into power in England, n will begin with a Clynes administration and end in a government of the Left Wing, because the latter can solve the aremployed problem.

Thus, comrades, I believe that the Executive on the whole has taken the right attitude in this question, when on the one hand it warns against the proposition of either Soviet government or nothing, and, on the other hand, it warns against the illusion which makes the workers' government a sort of parachute.

Comrades, the questions which we shall have to discuss further will be the detailed outlining of our plans of campaign. You will say a plan of campaign was given by the extended executive session en the united front. This indeed is our immediate course. I believe that the experience of the present year should convince even the blind that there is no other course open to us. The way of the united front is a more difficult one than our policy of 1919 when we said: let us strike. It is altogether much easier and pleasanter to strike all together. But when we are lacking the necessary strength to do it and this way is necessary, it has to be followed, while retaining consciousness of the dangers that lurk from the right, and at the same time in the firm assurance that this road will not harm us, but the social-democrats. It is or this further reason that the Second International is making such hysterical efforts to destroy the bridges ahead of is. We have acted not on the desire to reige with the Scheidemanns, but in order to stifle them in our embrace.

(Loud applause).

Chairman: The next speaker is Conrade Duret, the representative of the tendency in the French Communist Part which opposes the tactics of the united front. This comrade has asked us to allow him an extension of time to enable him to develop his point of view. The Presidium does not object. Are there any objections? None. The Presidium allow the speaker three-quarters of an hour.

behalf of the tendency which has become known as the French opposition to the tactics of the united front.

I have asked for more time because I think that on the whole the International is not sufficiently informed and enlightened as to what we really are.

It is an indisputable fact that at the time when the tactic of the united front was pure on the order of the day, a large majority of the French Communist Parry took a stand against this tactic, and for my part, it cannot be gainsaid that this opposition, this refusal was a wholesome movement on the part of the French proletariat.

It should not be forgotten, that Francis the country of socialist betrayals par excellence; it is a country of election eering. This was the reason for this movement, this refusal, this revolt on the part of the French workers, who did not see at the start the whole import of the tactics of the united front, considering it only as a conciliation with the reformists who have just left the party, it was a happy symptom which marked a desire for revolutionary action on the part of the French proletariat.

But the ideological disputes that have arisen over this healthy movement of the French proletariat were not always of the same character. You know that the centrist tendency which has now become reconciled to the united front, and possesses the largest faction of the party, was at that time entirely opposed to the united front, but the manner in which is acted and the reasons which it gave were not at all revolutionary, but purely opportunistic. It was also claimed that the party was good enough as it was, and there was no need to change its methods in order to modify the existing situation.

The group to which I belong, and

which was very weak at the time, mainnined quite a different position.

It was also opposed to the tactics of the united front, but for reasons diametrically opposite to those of the centre. While the centre said: we are opposed to the united front because we are a good Communist Party, we said: we are opposed to the united front because in France there is no Communist Party to speak of; it is Communist in appearance, but reformist at bottom.

What are the reasons for our attitude? When the centre was against the tactics of the united front, it was beause it was at the same time against the principle of the daily struggle for the immediate demands of the working class. In an article by Soutif, in the "L'Internationale" of February, entitled "Worthless Arguments." we read the following about the arguments of the Executive upon this subject: "Why should we fight for immediate demands, for reforms, while we know that the bourgeoisie will not give us anything except what we shall wrest from it by force. If we possess sufficient force to obtain reforms from the bourgeoisie, we will have enough force to capture the power and establish the proletarian dictatorship."

These words came from a member who strongly represented the views of the centre and the majority of the party. It was against this attitude that we took up the opposition.

We have done so in the theses published in the Bulletin Communiste and in an article that I have written in reply to that of Comrade Soutif.

We take it to be the task of the French Party to win the majority of the working class for the Communist idea and to conduct the daily fight for the immediate demands, as a sheer necessity for the French Communist Party.

In our theses, while disputing the tactics of the united front, we declare that we would unmask those who, under the mask of fighting against those tactics, were merely following the policy of the least resistance who wanted to pass as immaculate revolutionaries by means of the tendence of the tendence who wanted to pass as immaculate revolutionaries by means of the tendence of t

In order to thoroughly explain our attitude on the question of the unied front, and the manner in which we tackled this.

question in France, I have to make an analysis of the united front itself, and in this connection. I had to refer to the speech made by Zinoviev at the first meeting of the enlarged Executive.

What were the fundamental reasonwhich prompted the Communist Party to launch the watchword of the united front.

Zinoviev said: "there was a certain peried of revolutionary depression throughout Europe. The masses after the war were in a state of fatigue. They did not march behind the Communist banner when the party called upon them to capture political power. Their only concern was to ensure their daily bread. Thus they fell under the spell of the reformist organisations. These were objectively favourable conditions to the development of the reformist ideas.

But the capitalist effensive has caused the masses to enter the arena for the fight for their daily demands, and forunity in this fight.

This watchword may be viewed from two different aspects. One aspect is reactionary and dangerous to the Communist Party. The other is revolutionary and should be beneficial to the Communist movement."

The dangerous aspect indicated by Zinoviev is the attempt to create one big proletarian party out of all the existing parties.

The revolutionary aspect is the grouping of all the proletarian organisations for united action. This revolutionary attempt wants to put the great majority of the working class in opposition to the forces of capitalism, which should be backed by the Communists. They should show that they are not responsible for the scission and that the entire responsibility falls upon the reformists.

"Furthermore" — Zinoviev continued the masses are afraid of the perilous adventures into which the Communist Party wants to draw them; it is for this reason that the masses hesitatate to follow when the party gives the order.

In creating the united front, in taking the lead in this movement, we must demonstrate to the masses that the Communist Party is not a party of adventurers and through this demonstration get the masses to join in the fight."

I have reproduced more or the Incentily the thouse of Amories.

I would like to examine the particular say to saich the analysis of the situathe synder to France, and in order to nave my argument comprehensive, went the to survey before you the seek imation as compared with the and the tiermany, to which, I believe, conside Snoviev has often made refe-

What are the essential differences o tween these two situations? While in be many the great majority of the prolearter is organised either politically, or conomically, the great majority of the proletariat in France is unorganised.

While in Germany we can speak of the masses as being under the influence or relonging to reformist organisations, in rance they are under no influence whatver and are distrustful of all political organisations without distinction of label.

It is for this reason that we think that ne problem of the united front has a inferent aspect in France from what it

has in Germany.

In France there is no such thing as a mass party. Furthermore throughout the astoric development of the French movement one never comes across any great politica mass parties; one finds rather ertain groupings of ideas, certain groups of militants centred around a certain Mea, around a certain banner.

We also see in France a ceratain trend wards unity, and Zinoviev was right in saying that the development of the capialist offensive would be a great stimulous towards unity. But in France this lesire for unity manifests itself in the yndicalist domain rather than in the colitical field, because the masses do not consider the political party as represenfire certain factions, but as a sort of huge electoral organisation pursuing exdusively electioneering aims. It is for reson that the French masses show tendency for grouping themselves into political organisations.

We and our friends have thought that a France there is a trend towards unity, attempt to create a bloc of the worand class in opposition to that hourgeoie. but this would not be bloc of polihis computers forming an infinitessimal working class. If the

united front is to be brought about is France, it will be under the form of factory committees or workers councils or similar organisations, which would rally the great majority of the proleta riat and which would effect the concentration of the proletarian forces against capitalism.

if in France the masses are justimistrustful of the Communist Party is because the Communist Party has never accomplished anything from the point of view of action by the masses, and has never demonstrated itself as the vanguard

of the proletariat.

As Zinoviev has pointed out, the sit uation was such that there was no possi. bility for action. Those who advocated the united front in France were mistaken when they said that there was no possibility for revolutionary action.

When the employers of a country begin an offensive against all the demands of the workers, the Communist Party has to play a big part in uniting the proletarian forces against the power of the bourge oisie, and can easily transform all these spontaneous and isolated actions into grand systematic movement.

The tactics of the united front may b conceived in two different ways:

1) By extending an invitation to a re formist organisation somewhat in the following style: we want to start such and such an action, and we recommend militant and determined action by the masses, such as strikes, etc...

This was the tactic in vogue long be fore the creation of the united front; was the tactics of the open letter, fre-

quently used in Germany.

2) By addressing ourselves to reformis organisations, but without strict insistence on the orders and forms of the action An appeal is made somewhat in general terms, proposing to employ methods action which, properly speaking, are not the habitual methods for a Communis Party. But in course of the actions endeavoured to prove that the method not sufficiently revolutionary, and in the manner the attempt is made to go beyou the boundaries of the action that has bee started. In other words, the attemple made to transform a reformist pacific action into a revolutionary action.

I should say that the second way could

be used by Communist parties that are to understand, It seems that I will have by parties which are Communist only in

It is for this reason that we have fought gainst this second interpretation of the united front.

It happens that regrettable deviations have taken place even in Germany. I asked the French delegation what would have happened if the same tactics had been adopted by a party like ours, which is ncapable of revolutionary action and which follows in the wake of organisations that are capable of giving the order and starting the action.

If we desire to do daily work among the working masses, carry on a daily truggle for the demands which would mite us closely with these masses, we should not put ourselves in accord with disruptionist social organisations.

I will now consider another point. Let us pause for a moment to consider the likely effect of an action by a political party of the proletariat upon large unorranised masses. In a country like Germany, where the majority of the workers are organised, when the political party issues an order it is capable of getting the large masses of the proletariat behind it. It was on this basis that the German Party last year developed the theory of the offensive.

Under the present circumstances an order issued by the Party cannot sway die masses unless it possesses a revoluflonary impetus. The movement of the masses in France ought to be in the nature of an elemental movement. Such a movement would not be brought about my easier by an agreement between the ocialist party and the Communist Party, ecause it is only the Communist Party hat starts the fight.

There is another side to the tactics of united front which, regardless of all of efforts, still passes my understanding. am speaking of the question of the

workers' government.

Comrade Talheimer has used five or pages to explain to me what is neant by a workers ht I am hardheaded. I failed to government. nderstand. Comrade Radek had made h attempt at explaining the same subject more ample fashion, but still I fail

to give it up as a bad job.

But I am making a tremendous effort. to learn and I am asking in all seriousness, what is a workers' government. We are told that this is not the proletarian dictatorship, but that it is an intermediate stage between the proletarian dictatorship and the present order of things.

We are told at the same time that a workers' government does not rely upon parliament. I will ask then on what does it rely? You will probably tell me that it relies upon the masses. But ,... the masses," that is a vague expression, and one would want a more explicit statement, we want to know whether one is to understand it as an organisation of the masses or the workers' councils. If we are to understand that the workers' government is to rely upon the workers' councils and factory committees, then a workers' government would simply mean the complete power of the Soviets, the complete power of the workers' councils. In that case I fail to see the essential difference between a workers' government and a proletarian dictatorship. If, on the contrary, this workers' government is to rely upon a parliamentary majority, then it is quite a different thing. In that case, a workers' government takes quite a different aspect, and it is absolutely poli tical.

Comrade Zinoviev has told us: "...the slogan of the workers' government is not a universal one. It is not one for all countries. It is a historic possibility." I believe that he also said that a workers' government is associated with the existence of of workers' councils.

How are we to explain the slogan of workers' government as launched by Blum and Frossard in France? Everyone is aware of the fact that there are as yet no workers' councils in France, and that the majority of our militants, even leaving out of consideration the large unorganised masses, do not yet understand the meaning of workers' councils.

Radek (interrupting): Thanks to the Party.

Duret: Evidently, But you should give our group the credit of being the first to start the fight in this sense.

Lauridan (interrupting): And what

the for the Rhun-Frossard slogan of warrent was interpreted by course of the Left, our comrade Planhor of the Federation of the Seine who word the amendment to the Frossard-Souverior motion. He declared that the sor would from now on be able to arrose the masses, was the Blum-Prosand slogan of the workers' and since there are no workers' councils in France, the Blum-Fressard government would have in the meantime to rely upon a 1 rliamentary majority.

I believe that this way of understaachieg the workers' government is a deviation from the Communist and revolutio-

part point of view.

We were told that the Blum-Frossard slegan of workers' government should of he considered as an immediately redisable slegan, but as one that would be able to attract the French working masses to mass action. It should be something in the nature of the ancient social myths described by Sorel. It should be something like the social myth of theGeneral strike" as described by the same

The value of a slogan for a political movement, for a movement of the masses of a given country, should be judged by the influence which it would exercise over the policy and the tactics of the

working masses.

In what manner?—In the most concrete manner. If the Blum-Frossard slogan on workers' government were launched in France after the interpretation of Planchon, it would lead purely and simply to fur- of the French Party. mishing a new support, to lending a new force to parliamentarism. There are no workers' councils in France. The workers' government would have to rely upon a nejority. We would have to fight with night and main to obtain a parliamentary majority of socialists, not of Communists but of representatives of the people generally.

We can see what this leads us to: this leads us to electioneering and to a vival of parliamentarism. It is for this reason we declare that the Blum-Frossard s'ogan of workers' government in the actual present situation of the French

don't be tailed to neral Confederation lend to a resurrection of reformism which is still latent in our Party.

I am speaking of the reformists who are still in our Party: This is one of the reasons why we have opposed the taction of the united front.

The united front should be a call to action. One must clearly understand wha is meant by action in the Communic Party. Action in the Communist Part means just the writing of articles. Several Voices: Well said:

We make speeches in parliament, he we retain the methods of the old unifie Socialist Party of the pre war day

without any change whatever.

The burdens of the past are still weigh ing upon a great number of the Com munist Parties of Western Europe, be they lie even heavier upon the French Communist Party. Obviously we do no wish to repudiate elections. We do no wish to repudiate the methods of peace ful fighting. But whatever methods fighting we adopt they can have no in fluence and no force unless they give rise to action by the masses that we wish to consider all the forms of the proletarian struggle. We must so contriv our actions that they become the actions of the working masses, of the large mas ses of the proletariat.

Those in the French Party who recog nise mass action are still the minority and we know that if the tactics of the united front were to be accepted with the interpretation that has already been given in France, i. e., as a sort of election eering tactics, it would, be directed above all against the best revolutionary element

We will be told: You recognise the masses; you want to lead the proletarial masses under this slogan into the streets but you know that the reformists do no march. They are not averse to a common action with us, provided that action pacifist, parliamentary with joint meeting and joint petitions. When it comes mass action, they do not march.

Should we adopt mass action for ! French Party which is not yet tru Communist Party, it will have to shot der the responsibility for the defeat the action.

If we adopt the factics of a united from Party would be dangerous and would without any guarantees, it would be

strength to the reformist and oppormist elements of the party.

one must regretfully admit that the eformist and opportunist elements are increasingly numerous in the party as well as in the International.

The disintegration of the Two and a falf International had its good sides; at it also had its bad sides. One of these and sides is that a large number of the militants who formerly belonged to the Two and a Half International will now ones over bag and baggage into the Comunist Party.

We already see in this hall our dear comrade Serrati, true merely as a guest. nt probably soon he will be one of ar large family. After Serrati, others

vill come.

They will come into the Communist novement, bringing into it the old predices, the old methods of fighting, and heir social-democratic methods. This hould make us realise that the evil is ot on the Left but on the Right, Comnde Radek has already said it. It is dways the Left that has to bear the runt. The Centre never says anything, wither does the Right; yet, in practice. hey always do their little job of oppor-

The fact that the Paris Congress fornulated certain criticisms against the Left should not prevent this Congress nom effectively protecting itself against the deviations of the Right. Comrade Radek has already made that judicious observation.

While the situation was still such that me could foresee revolutionary upheavals, the so-called inopportunism of the Left

as a tendency that had to be killed. Yet the opportunism of the Left, as ng as it does not resemble the Dutch chool and does not completely detach self from the masses, is quite inocuous. On the contrary, it was the opportuof the Right which has bolstered the German social-democratic Party. a congress fails to take measures Rainst opportunism of the Right, it inthe power of the reformist eleents which are still in the Interna-

We should have a clear-cut statement our position with regard to the daily mands of the working class. In what

manner should we fight for its daily demands? I believe that the International must take it clearly understood that in fighting for the daily demands, the Communists have no right for a single moment to refrain from criticising the objective value of these reforms. While fighting for a reform, the Communists must make it plain that this reform can in no way ameliorate the lot of the working class. It must be shown that the very reform might be taken back by the capitalist class if the system be left unshaken. It should also be made clear that the Communist movement should not become entirely absorbed in the strugle for certain reforms, but, when the fight for a reform has started, the Communist movement should try to draw the whole of the working masses into the fray. It should strain every effort to lead the struggle and transform it into a fight against the very basis of modern society.

13

It is for this reason that we sensed in the theories that were professed in France by Treient to the effect that, in the present period, to wrest a reform from the bourgeoisie is the same thing as to make a revolution; that to obtain a reform is the same as to blow up the whole structure of bourgeois society. We thought these theories dangerous for the workers' movement both from the practical and

the theoretical point of view.

Comrade Zinoviev accused me of starting a campaign for the convening of national congresses before the International Congress, adding that I should have been cured by the French Communist Party. In this I beg to differ, because it is only after the Party Congress has been held, that the Party reveals itself in its true light and you know then what to expect

The situation is clear; we know now in what manner we have to address the

French Party.

For my part, the Paris Congress did no harm to either French Communism or to the International.

As you know, our tendency has fought against certain forms of the tactics of the

united front.

Nevertheless, in the name of all my friends, I declare here that we are all ready to respect and to enforce all the decisions of the IV Congress of the Intertorional will order us to carry out the larges of the united front, and tells us us in what form we must carry it out,

we will do our best to do so.

But we know that in the French Comnumsi Party there are certain elements who have accepted the tacties of the uniud front for the only reason that to them it is the first step which leads them to the re-establishment of unity. Against these elements we will also fight. Between ourselves and these element it will be a life-and-death struggle, If the French Party accepts the tactics of the united front, it should purify itself, it should exclude from its midst all the reformists and the confusionists.

It is only under this condition that it will become a true Communist Party worthy of the III International (Cheers).

Bordiga. - Comrade Zinoviev referred confirmingly to certain fundamental points laid down by the Third Congress with which the Italian Party is in full

The first point deals with the interpretation of the Capitalist situation; and declares that the present crisis is not transitory, but involves the decay of capitalism itself, and in the final crisis.

The second point states that in order to make revolutionary victory possible in a situation like this the C. P. must extend its influence over wide masses. This can be done by participating in their struggles for their every day interests.

The Italian Communists neither in theory nor in practice have followed the putschist method and never laboured under the illusion that power can be captured by a small revolutionary party. They do not accept, however, the formula of the "majority" of the working class which is vague and arbitrary. It is vague because we are not told whether it refers to the proletariat alone or includes also the semi-proletarian sections of the politically conscious workers organised in the Trade Unions. This formula seems to us arbitrary, since nothing can make us believe that the revolutionary attack depends nerely upon the numerical relation of

Our opinion on the tasks of the International and on Comrade Zinoviev's views on this subject is -that the International

has not yet solved the great problem to the in a satisfactory manner to the treeties in a satisfactory manner. rule the Left Wing is always character rised by its faith in the coming revolution. Well, I am a little more pessimistic on this point than Comrade Zinovier,

If a capitalist crisis is a necessary pre requisite of revolution, it must be als admitted that the conditions for the development of a strong Communist International and for its influence or the masses are to a certain extent weakened by the direct influence which the car italist crisis exercises on the economic organisations of the working class, to Trade Unions and similar organisation which may be called the natural a primitive organiations of the working class, which are more directly affects bu the economic situation. A more direct method of winning over the masses is intensify our trade union activities. The economic crisis and unemployment ren der this task very difficult. The solution which the opportunists propose for the problem of the liberalion of the prole tariat is a capitalist revivai. In fac the classic solution would be that de ring the period of capitalist prosperite the revolutioury party should be made as strong and influential as possible, s that, in the time of crisis, it may be ab to direct the economic organisations their daily activities along the lines revolutionary action. This is precisely what the opportunists were hindering. Never theless, the Communist International con tinues to consider the revolutionary mobilisation of the world proletariat its chief task.

The obstacles the solution of this pro lem presents are great, though not insu mountable. I am of the opinion the notwithstanding the exceptions in the present situation of certain countries, the economic stuation as a whole is going to get worse, leading to unemployment and to the numerical weakening of the trade unions.

Discontent will grow, not only amou the proletariat, but also among the sen proletarian classes owing to the dang of future wars. To organise this chaol discontent into something capable of revolutionary struggle is the great task

The International is endeavouring find the solution of this problem in mand a solid organisation.

very conditions created by the Capitaist offensive. Hence, the tactics of the united front.

Accerting the idea of this tactic as a whole, we make certain reservations concerning the role of the International as guide of the proletariat. These reserrations are based upon certain considerations of which I am going to speak, we regard the winning over of the masses as our fundamental aim. But this dees not at all mean that this end can be achieved by a process of forced marches. It may come to pass that the Party. without experiencing any growth in numbers, will nevertheless carry on its activity in such a way as would enable us 10 ultimately win over the masses to our side Zinoviev said that certain sections of the International increased their influence over the masses in spite of the diminution of their numerical forces.

Thus the conquest of the masses must not necessarily depend on the oscilations of the statistical index. It is a dialectic process which is above all determined by the development of social conditions. and our factical initiative can only accelerate it within certain limits, or rather under certain conditions which we consider as a necessary prerequisite. Our tactical initiative can only influence the psychology of the proletariat, using the word psychology in its widest sense, as applied to the conscience, the state of mind and will to fight on the part of We said that we realise that there are

the working masses.

os that there are two factors which play in important part in this connection, namely, a complete ideological clarity within the party, and a strict continuity

its organisational structure. We only say that to allow this condition be jeopardised, in order to effect a seeming amelioration of the effectiveness the Party or its adherents, would not be a great step forward on the road to real conquest of the masses which must roletariat around a party capable of evolutionary action. In order to do this or preparatory work must not be in the lature of an improvisation, but must have hit the factors which I have already entioned, namely-clarity of ideology

This conceded, we follow unconditionally the line of the International when it proposes what was already done between the III and IV Congresses (and what our party was the first in doing, ever before the return of our delegation from the III Congress) to take advantage of the world situation in connection with the capitalist offensive, to get behind the Communist Party those sections of the working class which are either with the Social-Democrats or are unorganised. We are not going to analyse here once more the causes and the nature of the bourgeois offensive into which the ruling classhas been forced by the very fact that the crisis; is irreparable. In connection with this there is a special item on the agenda, and when dealing with the Italian lascism, we shall be able to demonstrate the counter - revolutionary

tacties of the bourgeoisie.

The capitalist offensive has put forward a number of political and economic questions vitally affecting the majority of the workers, and thus gave to the Communist Party an opportunity to support working class unity of action and demonstrate by actual facts that the other proletarian parties are incapable of defending even the most immediate interestof the proletariat. Thus, the Communist Party was able to hinder the capitalist reconstruction plan, and at the same time to increase its influence over the masses drawbacks to the application of this Our revolutionary experience teaches tactic owing to the fact that we must be careful not to jeopardise the other factorby which our party influences the masses and carries on the revolutionary education of its adherents, because we must always bear in mind that our Party is not a mechanism to be handled at will. but an organism susceptible to the reaction of outside factors and to modifications depending upon the erection of our tactics. This is why we say that to have a permanent leading body composed Onsist in rallying the sections of the of representatives of various parties and delegate to it the power over these parties would be surversive of the very idea of the united front.

Evidently we must be equally prepared for either a refusal or an acceptance of the part of the opportunists to join fore with us. But in any case, the responsi-

The Continued Labor world wall or one in teach with carly Control of the Contro was a second of the contest and the second of the same the fact that the same and the same Colonia Calcada (Calcada Cara (Colonia Cara the second of the second the state of the same of the s and the property of the latest of the second the wall the part money because a series to pass of most user the and the surface of Now and the assistance of the sall or to pollout rough and the state of the many bottom

The second by the second con-The All present policies as well as It sould be continue that prothe second section of the conthe same the most objective second persons there. What really was a fire steel, as to make of the mide Mercan in the infloring stillation and that it and the property of the state o per the property the tis also Trad tuo teri sealment; est our part? the splitting the are to many solves of proleterian lere's. the Leating from his to meaning me of maisting the epportunity for the masses though, the workshops,

the true a configuration of the state of the s The first empty of the must confine and the stream above

At the Re a teleproon of the verkers' to the historian assured has the property recoilito the as community, we affine the state of the s e la Comme vacht en de gre grand the particular of the particular

some whomps to sent the trul tops near propose It the antelment the labor presentance were to give on worse makes the impression of on re-mini prophen of the reincone of the proletarian che and p the per water we based the preparation and the organisation of the International of re alread by my other mean the hy armed arrier to for power in the local a probleman distatorship, then we will open the tretical method because jerpardi-es a fundamental condition the preparation of the proletariat and a the Party for the revolutionary tasks, it order to achieve the doubtful success annudiate popularity.

It might be said perhaps that the wor ters government is not what we in on pear think it to be. I take this opports. my of saying that I have often heard explanations of what the workers' government is not, but I still want /1. novier to explain what the workers government really is.

It it means the establishment of temporary regime preceding the prolets rran dictatorship, I am of the opinion that a proletarian victory does not tala very definite form, one must exper that the process will proceed through re-action towards a bourgeois coalitio government, in which the right wing the opportunists would probably partic pare directly, and the centrists would disappear from the political scene after having accomplished their role of confederates of the social-democrats.

In Germany, for instance, we see that on the eve of a general industrial criss and organisational measures are clear the factory committee movement took and well defined. The Russian Revolution up the problem of the control of preduction. In this there is a certal analogy with the Italian situation September 1920, which preceded a gre proletarian defeat, If a similar rev lutionary situation should arise, German Communist Party must be pr pared for the refusal on the part of the opportunist elements to support en the smallest modicum of workers' contra Either the Communist Party will be a to play an independent part from start, or a counter-revolutionary situal will arise leading to the establishing Cf 1200 rument in which German Fas-

on the Social - Democratic Region pillows from all the thirt we are and approximate with Zimonia we there and the instruction they up material international has broade and for the activities of like saring the pplie not sult to comper to the work or concention with form tion of our laternational treat-

wa heard Zinoviev complain about the of centralisation and dicipline in international action. We are in the one the maximum of centrals too and ower for the Supreme Central Organ.

But to insure obedience on the part of e various sections of an organi ation the initiative measures of the leading onthe it is necessary on the one head have something more that a oleun erron or the virtues of discipline and n the other hand the measures then. elves must be of such a nature as to mmand respect ...

It is not the formal and minute appliation of democratic principles and of ontrol on the part of the organised lasses, that leads to fusion. We must ok elsewhere for a guar nice of Party scipline. Basing our views on Marxian ialectics, that we must bear in mind that ur; organisation is not a mechanism nor army, but a complex body. The evelopment of which is both a product nd a factor of the historic situation.

Discipline can only be guaranteed if restrictly define the limits within which ur fundamental resolutions on tactics, s provided the international revodenary movement with a basis for reconstruction of its ideology and fighting organisation. This is an stinable benefit which will hear finit proportion as the connection between Russian Revolution and the interenal proletarian movement is main-We condemn as detrimental to ultimate goal the policy et allowing such liberty to individual sections ethods of organisation and choice vies: such matters should be left to ding centre, and not to the noorganisations, in spite of the fact latter claim to be better judges

of the age of contact to their widow If the name of freedom of the or of allowers, I Is beneal to proud the language before of the other some or you become the and the present of the community world repulsement. We are of the option the me opposite of the following the state of the state of its control support. The Later mad be or or the latter of the property of the International Property of

If he officerouses that if he french a for Hussian terrotroles was no are previoled with a new and a to real plant. for the Communes between the attended It as ever, tour General Staff most be assured of the central eyes the man are all the world forces, in collection of the dateis more draw my the got ment constitution of projetarion strategy was be miss be obeyed by all Colombiners, then are many cample in the long row park of the elasticity on the consequence election in the house of manufacture

The regretable ... - will be the Party is a most sielling against this, One must be in must the markable fact that the postile the box gone through cospilation the manager of the politically topology maybe of ginated from the old Scott - In meeting This is examined to the France, (2 c) c slove at the source I venture to say that toop man are neous tendency to an inter the language. ar methods are to be applied, and if, anal of the proletarion parties as similar in its structure to a state military organisation. It more to the to find at any cost odenial many to achieving great monthlymus age to passing through with a link of a on within our powerty present we probable fulled to achieve commended we use and it is out to possible the assure runn turning point, we shall be seen with uniarrassing problems, I mand gold, lar say that shou an experience was made to a certain extent to the other or dange All I want to do here to to gree be the benint not all absence operations but of the uxpositioners of a party selarer is forming part of the that if from:

Our Internation I is the frequentie conspiered as murthing outside the Parties which add to to it; it has be present that

wave entered into public and insolent pe-

lemies with the International, The latter has been reduced to forning for itself iractions within the parnes, which ought to be at its orders. This kind of thing seems to be absurd

and disastrous.

We find ourselves compelled to liquidate too many questions of organisation and oiscipline just at the moment when ar adversary launches a reaction which renders practically impossible any necotiations or any procedure which may be necessary in such cases.

"I will conclude my statement by quoting Amoviev: "Let us be a real Communist International Party, firmly centralised and ready for the revolutionary struggle."

I should like to say that in such a party no isolated attempts should be made introduce changes in the organisational structure, and that in a supreme assemhey such as this there should never be delegates coming from any constituincy without being acquainted with the general rules of organisation.

In the centralised International Communist Party we shall have that true unity of thought and action which is indispensable, which does not tolerate any breaches of Party discipline, but punishes

them as acts of treason.

Graziadei. I speak in the name of the minority of the Communist Party of Italy. We do not wish to broach the Italian question now. A commission has been appointed for that purpose. We will wait to present our point of view before this commission which will certainly study all the peculiarities of our political situation. If we still have observations to make after the commission has finished its work, we will demand the floor at the Congress.

For the time being we will confine ourselves to the theses and the order of

the day of the Congress.

Comrade Zinoviev's speech is divided

into two parts.

Resolutions on the first part have

As for the Italian question, we declare they accept Communist ideas. I recognize the Communist International in gether that this first form is very dangerous to look but I do say that the split

nese parties or fractions of these parties neral has acted well. Naturally we reneral has acted the right to discuss the serve ourselves the right to discuss the we run the risk of creating a state of conditions and guarantees without which we run the risk of creating a state of conditions and guarantees without which in time will continue to we will not examine the future relations between the Communist Party and the Socialist Party of Italy.

The second part of Zinoviev's speech is the more interesting to us because it deals with the fundamental problems of the policy of the Communist Interna-

tional.

I wish to recall here that the Congress of the Italian Party in Rome proposed certain theses on the question of tactics. The Enlarged Executive of last May declared these theses were incorrect and must be revised.

Actually no revision has taken place. On the contrary, the comrades of the majority believe that their theses are so good that they have brought them once again before the Congress, through Com.

rade Bordiga. I think a long theoretical discussion on the united front would be ridiculous after this slogan has been in existence for a whole year. The United Front is the only means to approach the largest masses of the proletariat.

and his demands in the name of arith-

metic-what is a majority?

The question of a majority is not purely quantitive. It must be considered from come to us as isolated individuals. many points of view, different and complementary. It is the business of the political leaders in each country to deter mine whether the party is already a mass and a half ago in Germany. Was the party and strong enough for a given absorbtion of the Left wing of the Indeaction.

is a weapon for the conquest of the proletarian masses. Many comrades have seful to the German Communist Party, not paid sufficient attention to the problem of time. That is why time is now

turning against us.

What forms can the United Front take With the permission of the Congress, will say that there are two forms of the larty of Italy had never split. It believed United Front. The one is the policy whe bready been put before the Congress. reby a Communist Party tries to absorb In the name of the minority I wish to in its organisation groups of worker declare now that we will vote for these which formally belonged to the Socials Parties, and who now accept or think As for the Italian question, we declare they accept Communist ideas. I recognis

on striving thus to improve our position, get worse instead of better.

However we cannot reject a policy just because it may present some dangers. ll life is danger. The Communist Party which is a party of struggle, cannot renounce certain methods of struggle just because they present certain difficulties. in the theses of the Communist Party

Italy we read that the Communist party, in all countries of the World, can admit members only as individuals. This is what Comrade Bordiga has also said, This is one of the points of the theses which the minority opposed at the March Congress of the Italian Party. For if this theses were accepted absolutely, for every country and for all time, it would give the impression that the Communist Parties cannot accomplish their purpose, i.e. the conquest of the majority of the working class,

If there are Socialist parties with a working class membership we should hope to gain them to our side. But if we mined them over, we should absorb them n groups, and not individually, because From this point of view I do not under her were already organised in another stand Comrade Bordiga's preoccupation, party and because they bring with them moral, and some financial, capital. Their political consciousness is the reason why n is impossible to demand that they

tain sections of the old Socialist Parties las come up in Italy, as it did a year pendents good or bad for the Commu-Generally speaking, the United Front ist Party of Germany? I believe that, In general the absorbtion has been very even if at times we had to combat the dangers of this operation.

We have a somewhat analogous situation in Italy. The Italian Communist Party had declared that the Socialist this to the last moment, llowever the

position has taken place.

Considering the lack of character of le Italians I do not say that the maximalists have already become Communhope I will never say any thing

between the maximalists and the reformist is an important fact, in contradiction to what the majority of the Party believed and desired, and that this fact raises a most delicate problem of the application of the United Front.

Let us pass to the second form of the United Front the form whereby we do not seek to bring new working class elements, former members of the Socialist Parties into the Communist Party, but whereby the Communist Party, preserving its independence of organisation, attempts negotiations and temporary alliances with other working class parties and with Trade Unions to draw them into common action,

Even in this form of application of the United Front, I believe that the majority of our Party has made mistakes. It is true that the Communist Party of Italy believed in good faith that it was applying the spirit of the United Front in its

second form.

I repeat here that the Communist Party of Italy has been among the first to issue the slogan of the United Front, in a very imperfect sense, but before it even became the policy of the International.

What is the mistake which in our opinion the majority of the Communist Party of Italy has committed in its theses on the United Front and in its applica-

tion in the second form.

There are difficulties in the application The question of the absorbtion of cer- of the United front in the second form as well as in the first. Life always presents difficulties. Does not marriage present difficulties? (Laughter) And never-

> theless we get married. We see then that, like marriage, this policy presents difficulties. Bordiga believes that they can be solved mechanically and artificially. He makes distinctions. We do not want a United Front with the parties, but with the Trade Unions, because the Trade Unions are the most natural product of the working class, and because we can act with more freedom there and without compromising ourselves. He forgets that there are just as many difficulties in the Trade Unions as in the Parties. There are Social-Democrats there as well as in the Parties. (Applause).

> The distinction of Comrade Bordiga, then, has no basis in reality. We will meet

the same dangers, the same difficulties m the Trade Unions that we would meet

in the Parties.

There is of course a natural difference between the Trade Unions and the parties. But the problem of the United Front is both an economic and a political problem. This is why it is impossible to draw distinctions between the Trade Unions and the Parties in the application of the United Front.

Comrade Bordiga said: We oppose the formation of a permanent organ between the Social-Democrats and the Communists. But the United Front does not mean the formation of any such permanent organ. On the contrary, the United Front means a series of ruptures and of new

attempts at united action. The United Front cannot be considered as a permanent alliance with the Social-Democrats. Such an alliance would mean the death of the Communist strategy of

the united Front. Even from the Trade Union standpoint, the restriction of the United Front to Trade unions where there are Communists would be insufficient. There are Trade unions in which we have not a single comrade, as in the Christian and Catholic Unions which are numerous in many countries and in Italy.

To establish relations with the Trade Unions, relations which are necessary in certain cases for the realisation of the United Front, we must deal with their political leaders.

The policy of the United Front has been conceived in Italy in such an abstract doctrinaire fashion, that it has not yet had effect in the daily struggle.

Let us pass to the conception of the workers' government. After participating at the meetings of the Enlarged Executive Committee in May, Comrade Bordiga and I returned to Italy, did my best then to explain to our comrades what a workers' government was. No doubt it was my inability to explain things, but our comrades undertood nothing of my explanations (laughter)

I have never shared the opinion of Comrade Zinoviev who seemed to believe that workers' governement was synonymous with proletarian dictatorship.

l observe with pleasure that this conception has been modified by Comrade

Zinoviev himself and by the Executive Committee of the Third International

In our opinion the problem is as to Hows:-in every Country where the won king class can conquer power the work kers' government becomes the form the United Front.

That section of the working class which is still under the influence of the Social Democracy does not yet believe in the dictatorship of the proletariat. To induce it to take power, we satisfy ourselves with the formula of the workers' govern ment.

We must consider the historical pos. sibility of the workers government being a real step between the bourgeois or even Social-Democratic government and the dictatorship of the proletariat. In that event it is quite possible that the wor kers' government may have a parliamon tary form.

This possibility is one of the reasons why the conception of the workers' go vernment is so difficult to grasp to many of our comrades. This difficulty is typical in Italy where the anti-parliamentary faction of the Socialist Party has played a prominent role in the formation and organisation of the Communist Par ty. Many of our comrades are afraid the idea of a workers' government, be cause they are afraid of a parliamentary form.

This is a great mistake, and I have always said so to the majority of the Party.

It is quite possible that in a country where a large section of the working class is still embued with bourgeois semi-bourgeois democratic ideas, a wol kers' government may find support, I some time, in the Trade Unions, to which we must attach increasing political in portance, on the one hand, and on a part liamentary form on the other. We cannot reject the workers' government because may for a short time take a parliament tary form. This would be a great mistali In Russia, after the March Revolution the Communist attempted to increase the political power of the Soviets in which they were still a minority, but they did abandon Parliament when purely Social Democratic government was in power In Germany, after the fall of the Emple

we found Parliament and the Soviets side the majority of the Italian Party not to

by side.
Naturally the Communists must always teach the workers that a real workers government can only be formed as a result of armed revolt against the bourocoisie, and that this government must be under the control of its class organisations. They must continually teach the workers that if the dictatorship of the proletariat is not attained very soon, the workers' government will not be able to resist the assaults of the bourgeoisie.

Our Comrade Bordiga has demanded an ever stricter discipline from the Communist International. We fully subscribe to this part of Comrade Bordiga's speech. But we earnestly ask of our comrades of

consider discipline merely as a matter of form, but to make of all the tactics of the Communist International a living actuality in the daily existence of the Party (Applause).

The President.—Before we proceed with the translation of Comrade Graziadei's speech, I would like to make a declaration: The list of the speakers is long, and it will be necessary to work more intensly to finish. The Presidium proposes to therefore hold a session this evening at seven o'clock and to leave to-morrow open for the various commissions.

Any objections?

Adopted. The session closed at 4.p.m.

Published by the Press Bureau of the Fourth Congress of the Comintera, Moscow

20 th Government Printery "The Red Proletarian."

Number of copies printed: 750.