INTERNATIONAL SOCIALIST REVIEW

VOL. XV

FEBRUARY, 1915

No. 8



Copyright by International News Service. FRENCH WORKERS IN VINEYARDS NEAR EPERNAY, FRANCE. NOTE THE INDIFFERENCE OF THE WORKERS TO THE PASSING FRENCH SOLDIERS.

GERMAN SOCIALISM IN THE WAR

By ANTON PANNEKOEK

countries—came when the European once, by bringing together evidence from the war broke out. Before that German social-newspapers and other periodicals, that a ism seemed full size and full strength, admired by the Socialists of the world as an It is of the greatest importance for the example no other country had been able to American comrades to find out and to underequal; those who knew how things were on stand what is going on in the German Sothe inside, however, were aware that not cialist movement in wartime. everything was as good and strong as it seemed. Now all socialism seems suddenly to hinder the war by energetic action; in the to have disappeared; now it is commonly be-mood prevailing at the time, the leaders lieved in foreign countries that the entire never thought of doing so for a moment. party, filled with enthusiasm for the kaiser, has fallen into the worst kind of chauvinism.

TERRIBLE breakdown of the Ger- But appearances are deceptive in this case man social democracy—and of the also. Socialism is not completely dead, and Socialist movement in the other it has already been made clear more than part of the party still stands by the old flag.

German socialism was not in a position The party consequently might have made a declaration like this: "We are opposed to



all wars; it was our wish to keep the war about a third of the votes at the last nafrom breaking out, but we are too weak, and every effort is useless. With a heavy heart our workingmen bend to the inevitable; gritting their teeth they march away to the war, vowing in their hearts to take up the struggle for socialism again as soon as the position of the government seems to be shattered." Such a confession of their own weakness would have been a come-down. of course, from their proud declarations of other days, but the courage of its sincerity no one could have called in question. But no such declaration was made. The group in the Reichstag voted the war-credit unanimously. This especially is what the comrades in other countries did not understand, for the party by this act sided with the war. assumed responsibility for the war, declared its solidarity with the government, placed itself in the service of German imperialism. and tore to pieces the International of the proletariat.

To understand this act, it is necessary to have a clear insight into the different tendencies that stood side by side in the German party. As regards the reformists, who in recent years controlled about a third of the party, held about half of its seats in the Reichstag, and included about all the officials of the trade-unions, this attitude is at once comprehensible: they always tried to change the class struggle into a struggle for minor improvements, in which they joined forces with a part of the bourgeoisie; they would hear nothing of revolution; they had a nationalist and middle-class mentality. Of the radicals the greater half were neither hot nor cold; under the leadership of Kautsky and the executive committee of the party, they clung fast to the "old, tried tactics," would hear nothing of aggressive, revolutionary movements, and so, as a matter of course, they fell into opportunism practically, though still retaining the old phrases; to this group belongs the majority of the party bureaucrats. They stood confused in the face of the threatening war, without strength and also without enthusiasm, and looked for a middle course that would not bring the party into opposition with the national current. The rest of and its civilization? the radicals, generally referred to as the

tional convention of the party, is still, as a matter of fact, far from being uniform and clear in its aims, but there are in it some men well informed on imperialism and some advocates of aggressive tactics. However, in the caucus of the Socialist element in the Reichstag, in which this matter was to be settled, only about seventeen men stood by their proposal to vote against the measure. The overpowering majority of reformists and old radicals were for voting in favor of it. So the Socialist group in the public session of the Reichstag voted the war-credit. Could not the seventeen opponents have voted "No" also in the public session? It is an old custom for the Socialist group in the Reichstag to stand as a compact, uniform body, whose members give their votes not according to their personal judgment but according to the decision of the party. In this custom lies a mighty force; not chance opinions of single individuals but the decisions of the workingmen who make up the party decide the attitude of the party in parliament. The reformists have often opposed this custom, because they would like to have a free field of activity for their personal shrewdness and for political deals with capitalistic parties. But then the force of the distinctive class struggle against the whole capitalist world would be broken. consequently these efforts were always discouraged. It is true, a formal resolution was never drawn up, declaring that Socialist legislators should follow the majority of the party group unconditionally and mechanically; the discipline was nothing but generally recognized normal rules. Because of these rules of discipline it did not occur to the opposition to take a stand against the majority in the open session. Besides, it demanded extraordinary courage and a great deal of it, in the face of the dignified enthusiasm of public sentiment, to take a stand in opposition; and what arguments could they have offered, since the government had arranged everything so neatly that no one doubted that Germany was the victim of a malicious aggression, and wanted to do nothing but defend its national existence

Four months of the war passed by, and "extreme left," who often stood for revolu- the effects of the war inside the country tionary tactics, wanted the party to protest were seen. They were such as could have against the war, and to refuse to vote the been expected. No opposition had arisen war-credit. This faction, which mustered on August 4th. Consequently the military



government was able to manage things to its confidence in themselves. If the representaown liking. Military censorship was estab- tives of the extreme left had spoken their lished over the entire press. That danger- "No" in public, they would have become the ous war news about movements of troops leaders around whom all Socialists could should be carefully looked after and sup- rally, who at that time or later were willing pressed when necessary, goes without say- to take a stand against the war; in this way ing, but the censorship went further. It the opposition would have become a well looked upon it as its duty to see that "the defined force. As it is, the silence of the splendid harmony that our people show in minority group means also to them, "Say war time" should not be disturbed by nothing and submit." So they were weak preachers of discontent; that is to say, the and powerless against the prevailing current. military censorship became a means for the suppression of socialism. It is true, the in ecstasy; they had reached the goal of prohibitive regulations against the social their wishes, suspension of the class democracy were done away with, and its struggle, recognition of the social democracy press was admitted to the army—the mili- as a respectable party with equal rights with tary authorities were wise enough to make other parties, fraternal relations with the friends with the Socialist press, which could bourgeoisie. A good many of their papers preach no class struggle, however, because outdid the capitalist press in chouvinism, of the censorship. In the Red Cross and and stirred up bad feeling against foreign food supply commissions delegates from countries—so that even the more moderate the trade-unions and the party were given elements got disgusted with it. One of their places along with the capitalist members, most important organs (the Chemnitz pafor the latter were unable to handle all the per), expressed the opinion that anyone who work. This outward recognition of equal- wrote as he should write would not need to ity, which contrasted so sharply with the feel that the censorship was hindering him. former exclusion and contemptuous dis-qualification, had completely turned the ment that the comrades who did not like the heads of a good many party members; it new turn of affairs and wanted to criticise seemed to them that this marked the beginning of a time of liberty and equality. But The active, radical workingmen of Stuttat the same time an open word of criticism, of struggle against the bourgeoisie, of socialism, was hindered as far as possible by the military censorship. "Vorwaerts" had to make a promise to publish nothing further about the class struggle; otherwise it by an act of violence. In short, the reform-would not have been permitted to appear ists feel they are masters of the party, and again. And in Thuringia the military com- are trying to take advantage of the situamander simply suspended the paragraphs of tion to serve their ends. They make a great the constitution which grant every citizen deal of the tactics followed as a victory for the right of free speech and of association and assembly. Only a few papers which before had sought for Socialist strength above all in thorough enlightenment and not merely in sharp words were able to maintain a Socialist standpoint even under these circumstances. The most of them were reduced to colorless labor papers.

And against this condition of affairs the party had no strength for resistance. It is true, there were everywhere larger or smaller groups of radical workingmen who held fast to the class struggle, who understood the nature of the war, exasperated at group in the Reichstag robbed them of all masses suffered severely. The outbreak of

On the other hand, the reformists were would have to be thrown out of the party. gart, who in long years of struggle had shaken off the voke of the reformist leadership of the party in Wuertemberg, and had got control over the party paper in Stuttgart, saw themselves robbed again of their paper socialism: First, because the government recognizes them; second, because the government often has to take forcible possession of private property on account of the war. and for war supplies has to undertake a good deal of industrial organization-all this so many steps in the direction of socialism, say the reformists.

In reality the industrial measures of the government are nearly all undertaken as means of caring for capital. The war loan of five billions was a fine deal for capital, which looked forward in advance to sure gain from it. Millions were made by furnationalism—but the apparent unity of the nishers of war supplies. But the working



of the industrial life of all countries, a crisis the partition of Russia, the degradation of of great consequence even in America. In England, an increase in the number of colon-Germany the percentage of people out of ies and the lion's share in the opening-up of work, in spite of the large number of the Asiatic and Oriental world, without any soldiers in the field, rose in August to 25 per disavowal whatever coming from the govcent; it sank to 15 per cent only in the fol- ernment. So it becomes evident even in lowing months, as industrial life gradually Germany that the workingmen had allowed accommodated itself to the situation, and themselves to be deceived early in August. people went to work on all hands in providing war supplies.

in want? Nothing. The unemployed were so far only three million marks have been collected, and less and less is coming in all the time; the government must take up the matter." But why should the government take action? A possessing class does something only when it feels itself threatened by masses made no movement and found no leadership in an opposition party that would take a strong stand in their favor against the government. When the leaders of the worksong of the fatherland in danger, the government needs to take no thought then as to how the masses are to be cared for.

And then came the rise in prices in addition to all this. The war cut off the importation of grain; it was explained, of course, enough for a whole year, but wild speculation drove prices continually higher and higher. The government had secured the right to fix maximum prices, but it looked on without taking action till the speculators had gathered in their profit; then in November it set maximum prices that were far too high. Not only for the unemployed but also for the families of the soldiers, who received an extra allowance from the empire, this meant extreme poverty.

In the meantime the true character of the war had come into a better light. Under the appearance of a defensive war, it is in reality a war for world-power, for a stronger position in the world. That is given out ary Socialists. without any attempt at concealment in a

the war brought on a terrible derangement extension of Germany to the Atlantic coast,

Under these circumstances the Reichstag assembled again on December 2 to approve What did the government do to help those another war loan of five billions for the government. Must not the social democracy left to be cared for by private charity. How- vote this time different from August 4? In ever, a capitalist paper in the middle of No- the press it was insisted upon, especially by vember had the following to say: "Private Bernstein, that a different attitude should charity has shown itself wholly insufficient; be assumed this time, seeing that the war has changed its character, as we are told; in August a war against barbaric Russia was promised us. and now it is a war against democratic France, against innocent Belgium, against free England. Of course, all this argumentation is nonsense; the war is the suffering of those in want, but the in December what it was in August—a war for world-power. But it was also not to be expected that the social democratic group in the Reichstag would say, "We walked into a trap that time, but no more of that for us." ing people lull the masses to sleep with the The reformists were entirely satisfied and patriotic: the old radicals were befogged as

But what was not to be expected from the entire group might now have been expected from the minority of the extreme left. This time they could have given their votes in the that Germany had of its own harvest nearly open session of the Reichstag against the war credit. They had had plenty of time to see the bad effects of the tactics previously followed; how the radical workingmen had lost their bearings; how the impudence of the reformists in the party and the impudence of the military government from above, which worked hand in hand to keep down the opposition, kept growing all the time. They could now point out the true imperialistic character of the war.

But they kept silent. Only one had the courage to say "No." This one was Karl Liebknecht, alone among 397 members of the Reichstag; alone among 110 Socialists: alone among more than a dozen revolution-

Among the members of the left there is recently published work of the imperialistic more than one better informed on imperialwriter, Paul Rohrbach. And the most influism and who can make finer speeches on the ential capitalist circles have been demanding subject than Liebknecht. But in such times the annexation of conquered Belgium, the as the present it is not so much a case of



information as it is of courage; not so much tack. Now when this discipline has become a matter of fine, ringing words as of fearless action.

Courage is no rare quality. Millions of soldiers risk their lives because they believe and sentiment is still the same. It was unthey are fighting for the safety of their country. Thousands of Socialists have made extreme sacrifices for their great cause. In every group of persons with common interests the individual must sacrifice himself for the good of the whole body; the approval, the praise, the admiration, the respect of their comrades is the reward for which they endure stout-hearted all the trials and suffering. But very uncommon is the moral courage to turn against a person's own com-rades when it seems they are going the wrong way, and then to bear quietly their reproaches, their slander, their hatred. The better the group is organized for the struggle on the outside, the firmer consequently is the discipline, the greater is the moral courage necessary to disregard it. In the German social democracy this discipline was so highly developed because the movement was always in great danger from a powerful enemy and constantly under at-

a reactionary force, since it serves to break up all opposition to fraternal relations with the bourgeoisie, the effect of the old custom fortunately still so strong that it was able to keep down the opposition of the extreme left. It is consequently to be considered all the more praiseworthy that one was able to free himself from it.

459

The executive committee of the party has already sent out a threatening warning regarding this "breach of discipline." But thousands of Socialists in all countries will greet his act as an act of obedience to Socialist principle, as the proof that in Germany also a small beginning is being made in opposition to the imperialistic war. Thousands of workingmen will side with Liebknecht; will gather round him, and so form the nucleus of the army which, when the old, the worn-out, the strengthless, has gone down in the whirlpool of the world-war, will again take up the revolutionary struggle against imperialism.

(Translated by Alfred D. Schoch).



Copyright by Underwood & Underwood. DEAD AUSTRIANS IN THE STREETS OF BELGRADE.

